

## Diagonalization

### Prerequisites:

- Eigenvectors and Eigenvalues
- Matrix Similarity

A **diagonal** matrix like

$$\boxed{\mathbf{D}} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_N \end{bmatrix}$$

is especially easy to work with. One can see immediately that the **eigenvalues** are  $a_1, \dots, a_N$ , and the corresponding **eigenvectors** are  $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_N$ .

Given an arbitrary matrix  $\boxed{\mathbf{A}}$ , it would be nice if we could show that  $\boxed{\mathbf{A}}$  was **similar** to a diagonal matrix like  $\boxed{\mathbf{D}}$ . This process is called *diagonalization*.

### Definition 1: Diagonalizable, Diagonalization

Let  $\boxed{\mathbf{A}}$  be an  $N \times N$  matrix.  $\boxed{\mathbf{A}}$  is called **diagonalizable** if it is similar to a diagonal matrix  $\boxed{\mathbf{D}}$ .

Finding an invertible matrix  $\boxed{\mathbf{B}}$  and a diagonal matrix  $\boxed{\mathbf{D}}$  so that

$$\boxed{\mathbf{A}} = \boxed{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \boxed{\mathbf{D}} \cdot \boxed{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}$$

is called **diagonalizing**  $\boxed{\mathbf{A}}$ .

### Example 2:

$$\text{Suppose } \boxed{\mathbf{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & -6 & -28 \\ & 3 & -\frac{5}{2} \\ & & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{and let } \boxed{\mathbf{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ & 4 & 5 \\ & & 6 \end{bmatrix} \text{ so that } \boxed{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{12} \\ & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{5}{24} \\ & & \frac{1}{6} \end{bmatrix}$$

(blank spaces indicate zeroes). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \boxed{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \boxed{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \boxed{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} -6 & -12 & -18 \\ & 12 & 15 \\ & & 30 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \boxed{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -6 & & \\ & 3 & \\ & & 5 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3:** Suppose  $\mathbb{V}$  was a finite-dimensional vector space, and  $f : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  was a linear transformation. Suppose that  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N\}$  was a basis for  $\mathbb{V}$ , so that, with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $f$  had **matrix representation**

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_N \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus, it is clear  $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N$  are all **eigenvectors** of  $f$ , with corresponding **eigenvalues**  $a_1, \dots, a_N$ . We say that  $\mathbb{V}$  has a **basis of eigenvectors of  $f$** .

**Theorem 4:** *Diagonalization and Bases of Eigenvectors*

Suppose  $\mathbb{V}$  is a finite-dimensional vector space, and  $f : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  is a linear transformation. Suppose that  $f$  has **matrix representation**  $\boxed{\mathbf{F}}$  with respect to some basis  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ . Then:

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} \text{There is a basis of } \mathbb{V} \text{ consisting} \\ \text{of eigenvectors for } f \end{array} \right) \iff \left( \boxed{\mathbf{F}} \text{ is diagonalizable} \right).$$

**Proof:**

**Proof of “ $\implies$ ”:** Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis of eigenvectors for  $f$ . Let  $\boxed{\mathbf{B}}$  be the change-of-basis matrix from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{B}$ . Thus,

$$\boxed{\mathbf{D}} = \boxed{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \boxed{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \boxed{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}$$

is the **matrix representation** of  $f$  with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$ ; hence,  $\boxed{\mathbf{D}}$  must be a diagonal matrix, hence,  $\boxed{\mathbf{F}}$  must be diagonalizable.

**Proof of “ $\impliedby$ ”:** Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_N\}$  be the basis of  $\mathbb{V}$  so that  $\boxed{\mathbf{F}}$  is the representation of  $f$  with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\boxed{\mathbf{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \dots & b_{1N} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \dots & b_{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{N1} & b_{N2} & \dots & b_{NN} \end{bmatrix}$  be a matrix so that  $\boxed{\mathbf{D}} = \boxed{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}$ .

$\boxed{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \boxed{\mathbf{B}}$  is diagonal<sup>1</sup>.

For all  $k \in [1..N]$ , define  $\mathbf{b}_k = \sum_{n=1}^N b_{n,k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_n$ . It is left as an **exercise** to check that:

- $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N\}$  is a **basis** for  $\mathbb{V}$ ,
- $\boxed{\mathbf{B}}$  is the change-of-basis matrix from  $\mathcal{B}$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- For all  $n \in [1..N]$ ,  $\mathbf{b}_n$  is an **eigenvector** of  $f$ .

---

□ [Theorem 4]

### Example 5:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Suppose } \boxed{\mathbf{A}} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & \frac{5}{4} \\ \frac{5}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \text{and } \mathbf{b}_1 &= (1, 1) \\ \mathbf{b}_2 &= (-1, 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{thus } \boxed{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{b}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & \frac{5}{4} \\ \frac{5}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 2 \cdot \mathbf{b}_1$$

$$\text{and, similarly, } \boxed{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \mathbf{b}_2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{b}_2$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2\}$  is a **basis of eigenvectors** for  $\boxed{\mathbf{A}}$ ; the corresponding eigenvalues are  $\lambda_1 = 2$  and  $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2\}$  is the “standard” basis for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then

$$\mathbf{b}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b}_2 = -\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2$$

so the change-of-basis matrix from  $\mathcal{B}$  to  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $\boxed{\mathbf{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Note that we have reversed the positions of “ $\boxed{\mathbf{B}}$ ” and “ $\boxed{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}$ ” here. Of course, this makes no difference to the definition of diagonalization.

$$\text{while } \mathbf{e}_1 = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{b}_2$$

$$\text{so the change-of-basis matrix from } \mathcal{E} \text{ to } \mathcal{B} \text{ is } \boxed{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hence } \boxed{\mathbf{B}}^{-1} \cdot \boxed{\mathbf{A}} \cdot \boxed{\mathbf{B}} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{4} & \frac{5}{4} \\ \frac{5}{4} & \frac{3}{4} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 6:** *Consequences of Diagonalizability*

Suppose that  $\boxed{\mathbf{A}}$  is a diagonalizable  $N \times N$  matrix. Then

- Any matrix **similar** to  $\boxed{\mathbf{A}}$  is diagonalizable.
- $\boxed{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}$  is diagonalizable.
- The characteristic polynomial of  $\boxed{\mathbf{A}}$  *factors completely*:

$$c_{\boxed{\mathbf{A}}}(x) = (x - \lambda_1)^{N_1} \cdot (x - \lambda_2)^{N_2} \cdot \dots \cdot (x - \lambda_K)^{N_K}.$$

$$\text{and thus, } \det(\boxed{\mathbf{A}}) = \lambda_1^{N_1} \cdot \lambda_2^{N_2} \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_K^{N_K}.$$

The proofs of these facts are **exercises**.

The following theorem provides useful tools for determining whether a matrix is diagonalizable —ie. whether the eigenvectors form a basis.

**Theorem 7:** Let  $\mathbb{V}$  be an  $N$ -dimensional vector space, and  $f : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  be a linear transformation. Suppose that the **eigenvalues** of  $f$  are  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_K$ , having **multiplicities**  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_K$  and **eigenspaces**  $\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_1}, \mathbb{E}_{\lambda_2}, \dots, \mathbb{E}_{\lambda_K}$ , respectively.

1. If  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_K$  are eigenvectors of  $f$ , with  $\mathbf{v}_1 \in \mathbb{E}_{\lambda_1}, \mathbf{v}_2 \in \mathbb{E}_{\lambda_2}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_K \in \mathbb{E}_{\lambda_K}$ , respectively, then the set  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_K\}$  is **linearly independent**.
2. For all  $k$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_k}$  has a basis  $\mathcal{E}_k = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d\}$  consisting of  $\lambda_k$ -**eigenvectors** of  $f$ .
3. For all  $k$ ,  $\dim[\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_k}] \leq n_k$ .

4. The following are equivalent:

- (a)  $\dim [\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_1}] + \dim [\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_2}] + \dots + \dim [\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_k}] = \dim [\mathbb{V}]$ .
- (b) For all  $k$ ,  $\dim [\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_k}] = n_k$ , and also  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = N$ .
- (c)  $\mathbb{V}$  has a **basis** consisting of eigenvectors of  $f$ .
- (d) If  $\boxed{\mathbb{F}}$  is any matrix representation of  $f$ , then  $\boxed{\mathbb{F}}$  is diagonalizable.

**Proof:**

**Proof of Part 1:** We will prove, by induction, that, for all  $J < K$ , the set  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_K\}$  is linearly independent.

**Base Case ( $J = 1$ ):** Clearly, the set  $\{\mathbf{v}_1\}$  is linearly independent.

**Induction:** Suppose, inductively, that  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{J-1}\}$  is linearly independent, and suppose that  $r_1, \dots, r_J$  are real coefficients so that

$$r_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + r_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + r_J \mathbf{v}_J = 0 \quad (A)$$

We want to show that  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_J$  must all be equal to zero.

So, first, divide equation (A) by  $\lambda_J$ :

$$0 = \frac{r_1}{\lambda_J} \mathbf{v}_1 + \frac{r_2}{\lambda_J} \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \frac{r_J}{\lambda_J} \mathbf{v}_J$$

and then act on it by  $f$ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(0) \\ &= f\left(\frac{r_1}{\lambda_J} \mathbf{v}_1 + \frac{r_2}{\lambda_J} \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \frac{r_J}{\lambda_J} \mathbf{v}_J\right) \\ &= \frac{r_1}{\lambda_J} f(\mathbf{v}_1) + \frac{r_2}{\lambda_J} f(\mathbf{v}_2) + \dots + \frac{r_{J-1}}{\lambda_J} f(\mathbf{v}_{J-1}) + \frac{r_J}{\lambda_J} f(\mathbf{v}_J) \\ &= \frac{r_1}{\lambda_J} \lambda_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_1 + \frac{r_2}{\lambda_J} \lambda_2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \frac{r_{J-1}}{\lambda_J} \lambda_{J-1} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{J-1} + r_J \mathbf{v}_J \end{aligned} \quad (B)$$

Now subtract (A) from (B), to get:

$$0 = \left(\frac{r_1}{\lambda_J} \lambda_1 - r_1\right) \mathbf{v}_1 + \left(\frac{r_2}{\lambda_J} \lambda_2 - r_2\right) \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + \left(\frac{r_{J-1}}{\lambda_J} \lambda_{J-1} - r_{J-1}\right) \mathbf{v}_{J-1}$$

Hence, by the induction hypothesis, we must conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r_1}{\lambda_J} \lambda_1 - r_1 &= 0 \\ \frac{r_2}{\lambda_J} \lambda_2 - r_2 &= 0 \\ &\vdots \\ \frac{r_{J-1}}{\lambda_J} \lambda_{J-1} - r_{J-1} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

In other words,  $\frac{r_1}{\lambda_J} = \frac{r_1}{\lambda_1}$   
 $\frac{r_2}{\lambda_J} = \frac{r_2}{\lambda_2}$   
 $\vdots$   
 $\frac{r_{J-1}}{\lambda_J} = \frac{r_{J-1}}{\lambda_{J-1}}$

but since  $\lambda_J$  is different from each of  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{J-1}$ , this can only be true if

$$r_1 = r_2 = \dots = r_{J-1} = 0.$$

Hence, the original equation (A) must read:

$$r_J \mathbf{v}_J = 0$$

which means that  $r_J$  must also be zero.

**Proof of Part 2:** Exercise.

**Proof of Part 3:** Suppose that  $\dim[\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_k}] = d$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}_k = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d\}$  be a basis for  $\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_k}$  (consisting of  $\lambda_k$ -eigenvectors). Recall that we can “complete”  $\mathcal{A}_k$  to a basis for all of  $\mathbb{V}$ , by adding linearly independent vectors  $\mathbf{b}_{d+1}, \mathbf{b}_{d+2}, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N$ , so that the set  $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d, \mathbf{b}_{d+1}, \mathbf{b}_{d+2}, \dots, \mathbf{b}_N\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{V}$ . Let  $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}$  be the **matrix representation** of  $f$  relative to  $\mathcal{B}$ .

Since  $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}$  is **similar** to  $\mathbf{F}$ , the two matrices have the same characteristic polynomial; hence, all eigenvalues appear with the same multiplicities. So, consider the characteristic polynomial of  $\mathbf{F}$ . Since  $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_d$  are all  $\lambda_k$ -**eigenvectors** of  $f$ , it is clear that the matrix  $\mathbf{F}$  must be of the form:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{F}} = \left[ \begin{array}{ccccc|ccccc} \lambda_k & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & \lambda_k & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_k & \dots & 0 & * & * & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_k & * & * & * & \dots & * \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & * & * & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & * & * & * & \dots & * \end{array} \right]$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_d$ 
 $\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{(N-d)}$

Hence, the characteristic polynomial of  $\widetilde{\mathbf{F}}$  is of the form  $(x - \lambda_k)^d \cdot p(x)$ , where  $p(x)$  is some polynomial of degree  $(N - d)$ ; hence the **multiplicity** of the eigenvalue  $\lambda_k$  is at *least*  $d$ . In other words,  $n_k \geq d$ .

**Proof of Part 4:** “(a) $\implies$ (b)”: The characteristic polynomial of  $\mathbf{F}$  has degree  $N$  (why?), and thus,  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k \leq N$  (why?) But by **Part 3**, we know that  $\dim [\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_k}] \leq n_k$  for all  $k$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim [\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_1}] + \dim [\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_2}] + \dots + \dim [\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_k}] &\leq n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k, \\ &\leq N \\ &= \dim [\mathbb{V}] \end{aligned}$$

But if (a) is true, then the first and last expression are *equal*. The two sides of the equation can only be equal if  $\dim [\mathbb{E}_{\lambda_k}] = n_k$  for all  $k$ , and also  $n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = N$ .

“(b) $\implies$ (a)” This is immediate.

“(a)  $\iff$  (c)” This follows from **Part 2**

“(c)  $\iff$  (d)” This is just a restatement of the previous theorem.

□ [Theorem 7]

**Corollary 8:** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  be the linear transformation corresponding multiplication by matrix  $\mathbf{F}$ .

If  $f$  has  $N$  *different* eigenvalues, then  $\mathbf{F}$  is diagonalizable.

**Proof:** Exercise

□ [Corollary 8]