	3B2v7.51c (YJMVA : 2159) Prod.Type:Ftp ED:KCThomas PAGN: Vinoth SCAN: Nil
	ARTICLE IN PRESS
1	Available at WWW.MATHEMATICSWEB.ORG POWERED BY SCIENCE ODIRECT.
3	ACADEMIC PRESS Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jmva
5	
7 9	Estimating the spectral measure of a multivariate stable distribution via spherical harmonic
11	analysis $\stackrel{\sim}{\succ}$
13	Marcus Pivato ^{a,*} and Luis Seco ^b
15	^a Department of Mathematics, Trent University, Peterborough, Ontario, Canada K9L 1Z6 ^b Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 3G3
17	Received 13 November 2000
19	
21	Abstract
23	A new method is developed for estimating the spectral measure of a multivariate stable probability measure, by representing the measure as a sum of spherical harmonics. © 2003 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.
25	AMS 2000 subject classifications: primary 60E07; secondary 33C55
27 29	<i>Keywords:</i> Stable probability distributions; Infinitely divisible distributions; Spectral measure; Parameter estimation; Spherical harmonics
31	0. Introduction
33 35	Stable probability distributions are the natural generalizations of the normal distribution, and share with it two key properties:
37	
39	• <i>Stability</i> : The normal distribution is <i>stable</i> in the sense that, if X and Y are independent random variables, with identical normal distributions, then X + Y is
41	also normal, and
43 45	 ☆This research partially supported by MITACS Canada. *Corresponding author. Fax: +416-978-4107. <i>E-mail addresses:</i> pivato@xaravve.trentu.ca (M. Pivato), seco@math.toronto.edu (L. Seco).
4 3	<i>E-mail addresses:</i> pivato@xaravve.trentu.ca (M. Pivato), seco@math.toronto.edu (L. Seco). 0047-259X/03/\$ - see front matter © 2003 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/S0047-259X(03)00052-6

ARTICLE IN PRESS

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

2

1

$$\frac{1}{2^{1/2}}(\mathbf{X}+\mathbf{Y}) \underset{distr}{\cong} \mathbf{X} \underset{distr}{\cong} \mathbf{Y}.$$

In a similar fashion, if X and Y are independent, identically distributed (i.i.d.)
stable random variables, then X + Y is also stable, and its distribution is the same as X and Y when renormalized by 2^{-1/α}. The *stability exponent* α ranges from 0 to
When α = 2, we have the familiar normal distribution.

• *Renormalization limit*: The Central Limit Theorem says that the normal distribution is the natural limiting distribution of a suitably renormalized infinite sum of independent random variables with finite variance. If $X_1, X_2, ...$ is a sequence of such variables, then the random variables

13
$$\frac{1}{N^{1/2}}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\mathbf{X}_{n},$$

15 converge, in density, to a normal distribution. Similarly, if $\{\mathbf{Y}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ are 17 independent random variables whose distributions decay according to a power law with exponent $-1 - \alpha$, then the random variables

$$\frac{19}{21} \qquad \frac{1}{N^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbf{Y}_n,$$

converge, in distribution, to an α -stable distribution.

23

25

Thus, stable distributions model random aggregations of many small, independent perturbations. For example, stable distributions model the motions of Markovian stochastic processes whose increments exhibit power laws. Stable distributions arise

29 with surprising frequency in certain systems, especially those involving many independent interacting units with sensitive dependencies between them. They have

31 appeared in mathematical finance [3,13,16–18,22,23,32–34,45,48], Internet traffic statistics [31,58–60], and arise in mathematical models of random scalar fields

33 [26,61], radar [55], and signal processing [5,37,38], telecommunications [49], and even the power distribution of ocean waves [42].

For further examples, see [20,47,61]. The definitive reference on univariate stable distributions is [61]; the definitive reference on multivariate distributions and stable

37 processes is [47]. Other recent references are [1,8,28], and a forthcoming book by Nolan [40]; slightly older references are [2,20].

39 Although one-dimensional stable distributions are well-understood, there are many open questions in the multivariate regime. The simplicity of the multivariate

41 Gaussian universe does not extend to nonGaussian multivariate stable distributions. An N-dimensional Gaussian distribution is completely determined by its $N \times N$

43 covariance matrix, which transforms nicely under linear changes of coordinates. In particular, by orthogonally diagonalizing the matrix, we can find an orthonormal

45 basis for \mathbb{R}^N ; with respect to this basis, the coordinates of the multivariate normal

ARTICLE IN PRESS

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

- 1 variable are independent univariate normal variables—this is *Principle Component* Analysis.
- For a general multivariate stable distribution, however, the situation is much more 3 complex. Since the marginals do not have finite variance, it does not make sense to
- 5 define a "covariance matrix" in the usual way; none of the integrals would converge. Various modified notions of "covariance" have been proposed (see, for example,
- 7 [47]), but these do not transform in any simple way under changes of coordinates. In particular, there is nothing analogous to a "principle components analysis". Instead,
- the correlation structure of a stable distribution on \mathbb{R}^D is determined by an arbitrary 9 measure, Γ , on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{D-1} = \{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D; ||\vec{x}|| = 1\}$, called the *spectral measure*, as 11 follows.

For any $\alpha \in [0, 2)$, define the constant

13

15
15
$$\mathscr{B}_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \tan\left(\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\right) & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1, \\ -\frac{2}{\pi} & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

- 17 For any real number $r \in \mathbb{R}$, define
- 19

$$r^{\langle \alpha \rangle} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sign}(r) \cdot |r|^{\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1, \\ r \cdot \log |r| & \text{if } \alpha = 1; \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta^{(\alpha)}(r) = -|r|^{\alpha} - \mathscr{B}_{\alpha} \cdot r^{\langle \alpha \rangle} \mathbf{i}. \tag{1}$$

21

Finally, for any $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ and $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^{D-1}$, let $\eta^{(\alpha)} \langle \vec{\xi}, \mathbf{s} \rangle = \eta^{(\alpha)} (\langle \vec{\xi}, \mathbf{s} \rangle)$.

23

25 **Theorem 1.** Let $\alpha \in [0,2)$, and let ρ be an α -stable probability measure on \mathbb{R}^D , with center $\vec{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^D$. Then ρ has characteristic function 27

$$\chi[\vec{\xi}] = \exp(\Phi[\vec{\xi}])$$

29 where the log characteristic function Φ is given:

31
$$\Phi[\vec{\xi}] = \langle \vec{\mu}, \vec{\xi} \rangle \cdot \mathbf{i} + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \eta^{(\alpha)} \langle \vec{\xi}, \mathbf{s} \rangle \, d\Gamma[\mathbf{s}],$$
(2)

33 and where Γ is a nonnegative Borel measure on \mathbb{S}^{D-1} .

35 **Proof.** See [47, Section 2.3, p. 65], or [29]. □

37

 Γ is called the *spectral measure* of the distribution¹, and is essentially an "infinitedimensional" data-structure, so it is clear that, in general, no $N \times N$ matrix can 39 possibly be adequate for representing it. A "principle components" type decomposition is only valid when the spectral measure consists of 2D antipodaly positioned 41 atoms.

⁴³ ¹This terminology is standard, but somewhat unfortunate, since Γ is unrelated to any one of half a dozen other "spectra" and "spectral measures" currently existent in mathematics. Perhaps it would be 45 more appropriate to call Γ a *Feldheim measure*, since Feldheim [19] was the first to define it.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

4

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

- 1 Estimating Γ is much difficult than estimating a covariance matrix. Whereas the terms of a covariance matrix can be directly computed by estimating the correlation
- between coordinates, Γ is only indirectly visible; the image of Γ under a sort of "spherical convolution" appears in the *logarithm* of the characteristic function of the
 distribution: there is no more direct way to observe it.
- In this paper, we develop a method for estimating Γ from the log-characteristic
 function Φ. Assume for simplicity that the distribution is centered at the origin, and let the *spherical log-characteristic function* be the function g : S^{D-1}→C determined
 by restricting Φ to the sphere. Then, for all ξ∈S^{D-1}, we have

11
$$\mathbf{g}[\vec{\xi}] = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \eta^{(\alpha)} \langle \vec{\xi}, \mathbf{s} \rangle \, d\Gamma[\mathbf{s}].$$
(3)

¹³ The characteristic function of a distribution is easy to estimate from empirical data, and thus, we assume we have a good estimate of \mathbf{g} on some suitably fine mesh over

- ¹⁵ \mathbb{S}^{D-1} (the estimation of **g** is discussed in detail in [43, Proposition 25, Section 4.4, p. 48]). Hence, the problem is to recover Γ from **g**.
- ¹⁷ Abusing notation, we might rewrite Eq. (3) as " $\mathbf{g} = \eta^{(\alpha)} * \Gamma$ ". If D = 2 or 4, then ¹⁹ \mathbb{S}^{D-1} is a topological group, and this "convolution" can be interpreted literally, via
- the formula:

21
$$\eta^{(\alpha)} * \Gamma(\vec{\xi}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \eta^{(\alpha)}(\vec{\xi} \cdot \mathbf{s}^{-1}) \, d\Gamma[\mathbf{s}].$$

In other dimensions, however, \mathbb{S}^{D-1} is not a topological group, and therefore, convolution per se is not well defined. We must instead think of \mathbb{S}^{D-1} as a homogeneous manifold under the action of $\mathbb{SO}^{D}(\mathbb{R})$, and define a kind of "convolution" in terms of this group action.

The eigenfunctions of the Laplacian operator on S^{D-1} are called *spherical harmonics*, and form an orthonormal basis for $L^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$, analogous to the Fourier 29 basis for $L^2(S^1)$ from classical harmonic analysis. The expression of a function on 31 \mathbb{S}^{D-1} in terms of this basis is called its *spherical Fourier series*. A function $f \in \mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ is called *zonal* if it is rotationally invariant around a particular 33 coordinate axis—for example, $\eta^{(\alpha)}$ is zonal. There is a way of 'convolving' arbitrary functions by zonal functions, and, just as in classical harmonic analysis, convolution 35 of a function f by η translates into componentwise multiplication of their respective Fourier coefficients. Thus, to deconvolve f and η , it suffices to divide the Fourier 37 coefficients of $\eta * f$ by those of η . If Γ is reasonably smooth, then the spherical Fourier series converges rapidly in L^2 (Theorem 14). This, in turn, implies rapid 39

- convergence of the estimated stable probability density function in \mathbf{L}^p , for $1 \le p \le \infty$. 41 Our main result is as follows:
- 43

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha \in [0, 2), \alpha \neq 1$, and suppose ρ is an α -stable probability measure on \mathbb{R}^D 45 with density function $F : \mathbb{R}^D \to [0, \infty)$, spectral measure Γ , and spherical log-

ARTICLE IN PRESS

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

- 1 characteristic function $\mathbf{g} : \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$. Suppose that Γ is absolutely continuous relative to the spherical Lebesgue measure \mathfrak{L} , and that $d\Gamma = \gamma d\mathfrak{L}$, where $\gamma \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^{D-1}; \mathfrak{L})$.
- There exists a sequence of functions $\mathscr{Z}_n : \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$ (for $n \in \mathbb{N}$) and a sequence of constants $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with the following properties:

7

1. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\gamma_n : \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\gamma_n(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{1}{A_n} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \mathscr{Z}_n(\mathbf{s}, \sigma) \mathbf{g}(\sigma) \, d\mathfrak{Q}[\sigma] \quad for \ any \ \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^{D-1}.$$

11

- Then $\{\gamma_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are orthogonal in $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$, and $\gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n$. 2. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\gamma^{[N]} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_n$, let $\Gamma^{[N]} = \gamma^{[N]} \mathfrak{L}$, and let $\rho^{[N]}$ be the corresponding
- 13 2. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\gamma^{[N]} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_n$, let $\Gamma^{[N]} = \gamma^{[N]} \mathfrak{L}$, and let $\rho^{[N]}$ be the corresponding α -stable probability measure, with density function $F^{[N]} : \mathbb{R}^D \to [0, \infty)$. If
- 15 $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^{2M}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$, then, for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, $\lim_{k \to \infty} ||F F^{[n]}||_p = 0$, and furthermore,
- 17 $||F F^{[n]}||_{\infty}$ is of order less than $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2M})$.

19

Proof. Part (1) is Theorem 12 and Corollary 13. Part (2) is Corollary 16. \Box

This approach to estimating Γ has three advantages:

23

21

- 25 1. It is relatively fast, computationally. Computing a spherical Fourier coefficient with precision ε is a numerical integration of complexity $\mathcal{O}(N^{2(D-1)})$ (where
- 27 $N \sim 1/\varepsilon$, to be contrasted with the $\mathcal{O}(N^{3(D-1)})$ required by an explicit matrixinversion approach such as [35] (see Section 1).
- 29 2. Part (2) of Theorem 2 provides a good convergence rate for the partial sums of the spherical Fourier series, especially when γ is smooth.
- 31 3. A spherical Fourier series explicitly represents Γ as a *continuous* object on \mathbb{S}^{D-1} , rather than as a sum of atoms. If Γ is, in reality, discrete, this representation might
- ³³ be misleading. In many cases, however, Γ is absolutely continuous, relative to the Lebesgue measure—for example, if the stable distribution is *sub-Gaussian* [47,
- Section 2.5]. Also, physical intuition suggests that a continuous spectral measure is more "natural" than a discrete one.
- 39

According to a theorem of Araujo and Giné [2, Corollary 6.20(b), Chapter 3] the radial distribution of a stable probability distribution decays most slowly in those angular directions with the heaviest concentration of mass in the spectral measure.

43 Thus, if Γ is continuous, then a discrete approximation of Γ may introduce anomalous asymptotic behaviour to the estimated distribution; a continuous

45 approximation is preferable for this reason.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

6

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

Organization of this paper: In Section 1, we summarize previous work on this problem. In Section 2, we develop some background material, treating S^{D-1} as homogeneous manifold under the action of SO^D(ℝ), and reviewing zonal functions, the eigenfunctions of the Laplacian, and a suitable notion of convolution, and provide explicit formulae for the spherical harmonics. In Section 3, we define the spherical Fourier transform and show how to compute "deconvolution" using this transform. In Section 4, we characterize the rate of convergence of the spherical

Fourier series as an estimate of the spectral measure, and relate this to convergence of the underlying stable distribution.

11

1. Summary of previous work

Early on, Press [44] developed an estimation scheme for multivariate stable distributions, through a straightforward generalization of his one-dimensional method. Press's method, however, only works for "pseudo-Gaussian" distributions, with log-characteristic functions of the form:

¹⁷ with log-characteristic functions of the form:

19
$$\Phi_{\mathbf{X}}(\vec{\xi}) = \langle \vec{\xi}, \vec{\mu} \rangle \mathbf{i} + \langle \vec{\xi}, \Omega \vec{\xi} \rangle^{\alpha/2},$$

where Ω is some symmetric, positive semidefinite "covariance matrix". If Ω has unit eigenvectors $\vec{\omega}_1, ..., \vec{\omega}_D$, with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_D$ (i.e. as a covariance matrix, we have "principle components" $\lambda_1 \vec{\omega}_1, ..., \lambda_1 \vec{\omega}_1$), then the spectral measure of this

²³ distribution is symmetric and atomic, with atoms at each of $\pm \vec{\omega}_1, ..., \pm \vec{\omega}_D$, with masses $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_D$ —in other words:

 $\vec{\omega}$.

25 27

$$\Gamma = \sum_{d=1}^{D} \lambda_d (\delta_{\vec{\omega}_d} + \delta_{-\vec{\omega}_d}), \text{ where } \delta_{\vec{\omega}} \text{ is the point mass at}$$

29 Press proposes to solve for the components of the matrix Ω by empirically estimating the log characteristic function at some collection of frequencies $\{\vec{\xi}_1, ..., \vec{\xi}_N\}$, where 31 N = D(D+1)/2, and then solving a system of N linear equations. He claims that his

method will generalize to a *sum* of pseudo-Gaussians:

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{X}}(\vec{\xi}) = \langle \vec{\xi}, \vec{\mu}
angle \mathbf{i} + \sum_{m=1}^{M} \langle \vec{\xi}, \Omega_m \vec{\xi}
angle^{lpha/2}.$$

35

(where $\Omega_1, \ldots, \Omega_M$ are linearly independent, symmetric, positive semidefinite 37 matrices). However, in this case, one no longer ends up with a system of linear equations, so it is not clear that the method is tractable. In any event, Press's method 39 only applies to multivariate distributions with particularly simple atomic spectral

measures, which furthermore must be symmetrically distributed. Empirical evidence

- 41 (see, for example, [21]) suggests that the stable distributions found in financial data are significantly skewed; symmetry is not a reasonable assumption.
- 43 Cheng, Rachev and Xin [7,46] develop a more sophisticated method, by expressing a stable random vector in spherical polar coordinates, and then examining the order
- 45 statistics of the radial component, as a function of the angular component. They

ARTICLE IN PRESS

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

- 1 utilize the aforementioned theorem of Araujo and Giné [2] stating that the radial distribution decays most slowly in those angular directions with the heaviest
- concentration of spectral mass; these differences in decay rate are then used to 3 estimate the density distribution of the spectral measure.
- 5 More generally, Hurd et al. [27] consider any multivariate, infinitely-divisible distribution ρ whose Lévy–Khintchine measure λ takes the form

$$d\lambda[\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{s}] = f(\mathbf{r}) \, d\mathbf{r} \, d\Gamma[\mathbf{s}],$$

- where $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^{D-1}$ and Γ is some "spectral measure" on \mathbb{S}^{D-1} , while $r \in [0, \infty)$, and 9 $f:[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is some function asymptotically of order $f(r) \sim \mathcal{O}(r^{-\alpha-1})$. A result
- 11 similar to that of Araujo and Giné [2] is shown for this class of distributions, providing a mechanism for estimating Γ from empirical data by looking at the

13 angular distribution of extremal events.

- Nolan, Panorska, and McCulloch [35,41], develop a method based upon a discrete 15 approximation of the spectral measure. If the spectral measure is treated as a sum of a finite number of atoms,
- 17

19

$$\Gamma = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathscr{A}} \, \gamma_{\mathbf{a}} \delta_{\mathbf{a}},$$

then, for any fixed $\vec{\xi} \in \mathbb{S}^{D-1}$, the function $\eta_{\vec{\xi}}^{(\alpha)}(\mathbf{s}) = \eta^{(\alpha)} \langle \vec{\xi}, \mathbf{s} \rangle$ of Theorem 1 can be 21 restricted to a function $\eta_{\vec{\xi}}^{(\alpha)}: \mathscr{A} \to \mathbb{C}$. The set of all discrete measures supported on \mathscr{A} 23 is a finite-dimensional vector space, which we can identify with $\mathbb{C}^{\mathscr{A}}$, and $\eta_{\vec{z}}^{(\alpha)}$ is just a linear functional on this vector space. If $\Xi \subset \mathbb{S}^{D-1}$ is some finite set, then we can 25 define a linear map $F : \mathbb{C}^{\mathscr{A}} \to \mathbb{C}^{\Xi}$, where, for each $\vec{\xi} \in \Xi$, 27

29

31

F

$$(\Gamma)_{\vec{\xi}} = \mathbf{g}(\vec{\xi}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \eta_{\vec{\xi}}^{(\alpha)} d\Gamma.$$

The method of Nolan et al. then comes down to *inverting* this linear transformation to recover Γ from an empirical estimate of g. They explicitly implemented their method in the two-dimensional case (i.e. when the spectral measure lives on a circle), and tested it against a variety of distributions. They found that it worked fairly well 33 for a variety of measures on the circle, and consistently outperformed the method of

- Cheng et al. The methods of Cheng et al. and Nolan et al. are also discussed in [39, 35 Section 5].
- 37

2. Zonal functions, Laplacians, and convolution on spheres 39

² \mathbb{S}^{D-1} is a compact Riemannian manifold, and $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{SO}^{D}(\mathbb{R})$ is a (nonabelian) 41 compact Lie group, acting transitively and isometrically on S^{D-1} by rotations. We 43

7

²This review of background material loosely follows [53, Section 3.3]. A friendlier approach is [43, 45 Sections 5.1–5.2].

ARTICLE IN PRESS

8

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

will develop a version of harmonic analysis on S^{D-1} as a homogeneous Riemannian manifold (this theory is actually applicable to any homogeneous Riemannian
manifold; it may be helpful to keep this in mind).

Let \mathfrak{L} be the canonical volume measure induced on \mathbb{S}^{D-1} by its Riemann structure. For example, on \mathbb{S}^2 , \mathfrak{L} is the usual "surface area" measure. \mathbb{S}^{D-1} is compact, so \mathfrak{L} is finite—assume \mathfrak{L} is normalized to have total mass 1. Let

$$\mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1}) = \left\{ f: \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}; \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} |f(\mathbf{s})|^{2} d\mathfrak{L}[\mathbf{s}] < \infty \right\}.$$

- 11 The action of \mathbb{G} on \mathbb{S}^{D-1} induces a linear \mathbb{G} -action on $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ in the obvious way: if $\phi \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ and $g \in \mathbb{G}$, then $g \cdot \phi : \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined: $g \cdot \phi(m) = \phi(g \cdot m)$.
- 13 Let $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ be the space of smooth, complex-valued functions on \mathbb{S}^{D-1} . \mathfrak{L} is finite, so $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ is a linear subspace of $\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ (though not a closed subspace).
- ¹⁵ G acts smoothly on \mathbb{S}^{D-1} , so $\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ is G-invariant. We consider the restricted action of G on $\mathbb{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$.
 - Let $\Delta : \mathbf{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1}) \to \mathbf{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ be the Laplacian operator.
- 19

9

Theorem 3 (The Laplacian on \mathbb{S}^D (Takeuchi [51])). Endow the circle \mathbb{S}^1 with the angular coordinate system $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$, so that any point on $\mathbb{S}^1_* = \mathbb{S}^1 - \{(1, 0)\}$ has coordinates $(\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta))$.

23 If $f: \mathbb{S}^1_* \to \mathbb{C}$, then, in this coordinate system, $\triangle_{\mathbb{S}^1} f = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta^2}$.

For
$$D \ge 2$$
, let $\mathbb{S}^D_* = \mathbb{S}^D \setminus (\mathbb{R}^{D-1} \times [0, \infty) \times \{0\})$, and define the diffeomorphism

$$\mathbb{S}^{D-1}_* \times (0,\pi) \to \mathbb{S}^D_*(\mathbf{s},\phi) \mapsto [\cos(\phi);\sin(\phi) \cdot \mathbf{s}]$$

Then we have the following inductive formula:

31

27

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{D}}f = \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial\phi^{2}} + (D-1)\cot(\phi)\frac{\partial f}{\partial\phi} + \frac{1}{\sin(\phi)^{2}}\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}}f.$$

33

35

 \triangle commutes with the isometric \mathbb{G} action: for all $g \in \mathbb{G}$,

$$\triangle \left(g \cdot \phi\right) = g \cdot \left(\triangle \phi\right)$$

³⁷ Let $\Lambda := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; -\lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } \Delta\}$, and for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, let

39
$$\mathbb{V}_{\lambda} = \{ \phi \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1}); \Delta \phi = -\lambda \phi \}$$

41 be the corresponding eigenspace. Thus, \mathbb{V}_{λ} is a G-invariant subspace.

The eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on \mathbb{S}^{D-1} are called *spherical harmonics*. 43 Further information on spherical harmonics can be found in [53, Section 4.3]; [54,

Chapter II]; [25, Chapters 3 and 5]; [30, Chapters 7–8]; [51, Sections 11 and 12], and 45 also in [9,11,12,14,36,50,52,56].

ARTICLE IN PRESS

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

1 Let $\mathbf{e} = (1, 0, ..., 0) \in \mathbb{S}^{D-1}$, and define

$$\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{e}} = \{ g \in \mathbb{G}; \ g \cdot \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e} \},\$$

3

the set of all orthogonal transformations of \mathbb{R}^D fixing the **e**-axis. In other words, \mathbb{G}_{\bullet} 5 is the set of all "rotations" of the remaining (D-1) dimensions about this axis; hence, there is a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{e}} \cong \mathbb{SO}^{D-1}(\mathbb{R})$. $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{e}}$ is thus a connected, 7 compact subgroup of G. The action of G upon $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ restricts to an action of $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{e}}$, and the spaces \mathbb{V}_{λ} remain invariant under this new action. 9

A function $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ is called *zonal* (relative to \mathbb{G} and the fixed point $\mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{S}^{D-1}$) if it is invariant under the action of \mathbb{G}_{e} . Formally, for any \mathbb{G}_{e} -invariant subspace 11 $\mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$, define

13
$$\mathscr{Z}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{V}) = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{V}; \ \forall g \in \mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{e}}, \ g \cdot \zeta = \zeta\}$$

- 15 Thus, the zonal elements of $\mathbf{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ are smooth functions which are *rotationally* invariant about the e-axis. Clearly, any zonal function must be of the form 17
- $\zeta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_D) = \zeta_1(x_1)$ where $\zeta_1 : [-1, 1] \to \mathbb{C}$.
- 19 **Proposition 4.** 1. If $\mathbb{V} \subset \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ is a nontrivial G-invariant subspace, then $\mathscr{Z}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{V})$ is nontrivial. 21
 - 2. If dim($\mathscr{Z}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{V})$) = 1, then \mathbb{V} is an irreducible \mathbb{G} -module.
- 23 Proof.
- 25 Proof of Part 1.
- 27 **Claim 1.** \mathbb{V} contains an element ϕ such that $\phi(\mathbf{e}) \neq 0$.
- 29
- 31
- 33

Proof. Since \mathbb{V} is nontrivial, there is some $\psi \in \mathbb{V}$ which is nonzero *somewhere*—say $\psi(x) \neq 0$. Since G acts transitively on \mathbb{S}^{D-1} , find $g \in \mathbb{G}$ so that $g \cdot \mathbf{e} = x$. Thus, if 35 $\phi = q \cdot \psi$, then $\phi(\mathbf{e}) = \psi(q \cdot \mathbf{e}) = \psi(x) \neq 0$. Since \mathbb{V} is G-invariant, $\phi \in \mathbb{V}$ is the element we seek. 37 \square

- Now, \mathbb{G}_{e} is a closed subgroup of the compact group \mathbb{G} ; thus, \mathbb{G}_{e} is compact, so it 39 has a finite Haar measure \mathfrak{H} . Define
- 41

43

$$\zeta \coloneqq \int_{\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{e}}} g$$

ſ

 $\cdot \phi d\mathfrak{H}[q].$

Since \mathfrak{H} is finite, this integral is well defined. Since \mathbb{V} is a closed, \mathbb{G} -invariant subspace, the element ζ is in \mathbb{V} . Furthermore, since $\zeta(\mathbf{e}) = \phi(\mathbf{e})$, and $\phi(\mathbf{e}) \neq 0$, we 45

ARTICLE IN PRESS

10

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

- 1 conclude that ζ is nontrivial. Finally, note that ζ is \mathbb{G}_{e} -invariant by construction—in other words, it is zonal.
- 3

Proof of Part 2. Suppose $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V}_1 \oplus \mathbb{V}_2$, where $\mathbb{V}_1, \mathbb{V}_2$ are G-invariant. Then by Part 5 1, we can construct linearly independent zonal functions $\zeta_1 \in \mathscr{Z}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{V}_1)$ and $\zeta_2 \in \mathscr{Z}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{V}_2)$. Since $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathscr{Z}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{V})$, this contradicts the hypothesis that 7 $\dim(\mathscr{Z}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{V})) = 1. \quad \Box$

- 9 For any r > 0, let $\mathbb{B}(\mathbf{e}, r)$ be the ball of radius r about \mathbf{e} in \mathbb{S}^{D-1} , relative to the intrinsic Riemannian metric.
- 11

Lemma 5. For all r > 0, $\mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{e}}$ acts transitively on $\partial \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{e}, r)$ in \mathbb{S}^{D-1} . 13

Proposition 6. Each eigenspace \mathbb{V}_{λ} of $\triangle_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}}$ is an irreducible \mathbb{G} -module. 15

Proof. By Proposition 4, it suffices to show that dim $[\mathscr{Z}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{V}_{\lambda})] = 1$. So, suppose that 17

 $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \in \mathscr{Z}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{V}_{\lambda})$ are linearly independent. Since they are zonal, $\zeta_1(\mathbf{s})$ and $\zeta_2(\mathbf{s})$ are functions only of the distance from s to e. So, for some $s \in S^{D-1}$ with distance(s, e) =

19 r, let $z_1 = \zeta_1(\mathbf{s})$ and $z_2 = \zeta_2(\mathbf{s})$, and let $\zeta := z_2\zeta_1 - z_1\zeta_2$. Thus, ζ is also zonal. We aim

to show that ζ is the zero function; thus, ζ_1 and ζ_2 are just scalar multiples of one 21 another.

Now, by construction, $\zeta(\mathbf{s}) = 0$, and thus, $\zeta \equiv 0$ on $\partial \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{e}; r)$. At the same time, 23 however, ζ is a linear combination of two elements of \mathbb{V}_{λ} ; hence, it is also in \mathbb{V}_{λ} —i.e.

 ζ is a $(-\lambda)$ -eigenfunctions of \triangle . Fix λ , and let r get small. If r is made small enough, 25 then the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition $\zeta_{|\partial \mathbb{B}(\mathbf{e};r)} \equiv 0$ forces the smallest

- eigenvalue of \triangle to be larger in absolute value than λ , creating a contradiction. 27
- One consequence of this irreducibility is 29

Theorem 7 ((Schur's Lemma) (Brocker and Dieck [4])). Let \mathbb{V} be a complex Banach 31 space and an irreducible G-module. If $\phi: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ is a continuous C-linear map that commutes with the G-action, then ϕ is multiplication by a scalar. 33

Now consider the *D*-torus \mathbb{T}^D , equipped with the standard equivariant metric. The 35 eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on are the periodic functions of the form

$$\mathscr{E}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(2\pi \mathbf{i} \cdot \langle \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{x} \rangle),$$

39

with $\mathbf{n} \in \widehat{\mathbb{T}^D} \cong \mathbb{Z}^D$, where $\mathbf{x} \in [0,1)^D$ and $[0,1)^D$ is identified with \mathbb{T}^D in the obvious way. These eigenfunctions form an orthonormal basis for $L^{2}(\mathbb{T}^{D})$. The same is true 41 for arbitrary homogeneous Riemannian manifolds, and in particular, for the sphere:

43

Theorem 8. $L^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ is an orthogonal direct sum of the eigenspaces of \triangle . In other words. 45

ARTICLE IN PRESS

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

1

3

$$\mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^{D-1}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}$$

where the subspaces \mathbb{V}_{λ_1} and \mathbb{V}_{λ_2} are orthogonal whenever $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$.

Proof. See for example [57, Chapter 6, p. 255]; [6, Theorem 3.21, p. 156]. Or treat △
as an elliptic differential operator, and use [15, Section 6.5, Theorem 1]. Alternately, employ the Spectral Theorem for unbounded self-adjoint operators [10, Section
X.4]. □

If η: S^{D-1} × S^{D-1} → C, then say that η is a G-*equivariant* if, for all σ, s∈ S^{D-1} and g∈G, η(g ⋅ σ, g ⋅ s) = η(σ, s). Since G acts isometrically and transitively on S^{D-1}, this
is equivalent to saying that η(s, σ) is a function only of the inner product ⟨s, σ⟩. We will thus often write η(s, σ) as "η⟨s, σ⟩". For instance, the function η^(α): S^{D-1} ×

15 $\mathbb{S}^{D-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by equation (1) is \mathbb{G} -equivariant.

17 If η is G-equivariant, $\phi : \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$, and both are \mathfrak{L} -integrable, then we define the *convolution* $\eta * \phi : \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

21

$$(\eta * \phi)(\mathbf{s}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \eta(\mathbf{s}, \sigma) \phi(\sigma) \, d\mathfrak{L}[\sigma].$$

For example, if Γ is a measure on \mathbb{S}^{D-1} , with Radon–Nikodym derivative 23 $\gamma: \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$, then $\eta * \gamma: \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined

25
$$\eta * \gamma(\mathbf{s}) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \eta(\mathbf{s},\sigma) \gamma(\sigma) \, d\mathfrak{Q}[\sigma] = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \eta(\mathbf{s},\sigma) \, d\Gamma[\sigma].$$

27 In particular, if Γ is a spectral measure and $\eta = \eta^{(\alpha)}$, then this formula is identical to Eq. (3). In other words,

29

$$\eta^{(\alpha)} * \gamma = \mathbf{g}_{1}$$

³¹ where \mathbf{g} is the spherical log-characteristic function.

Recall again the case of \mathbb{T}^D . The eigenfunctions of the Laplacian, $\{\mathscr{E}_n; n \in \mathbb{Z}^D\}$, are well-behaved under convolution: classical harmonic analysis tells us that

35
37
$$\left(\sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^D}a_{\mathbf{n}}\mathscr{E}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})\right)*\left(\sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^D}b_{\mathbf{n}}\mathscr{E}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x})\right)=\sum_{\mathbf{n}\in\mathbb{Z}^D}(a_{\mathbf{n}}\cdot b_{\mathbf{n}})\mathscr{E}_{\mathbf{n}}(\mathbf{x}).$$

39

A similar formula holds for zonal spherical harmonics.

41 **Proposition 9** (Convolution and eigenfunctions). Let $\eta : \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$ be Gequivariant. Fix $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $\zeta \in \mathscr{Z}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{V}_{\lambda})$, and define complex constant $A_{\lambda} = \frac{(\eta * \zeta)(\mathbf{e})}{\zeta(\mathbf{e})}$. Then 43 for any $\phi \in \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}$, $\eta * \phi = A_{\lambda} \cdot \phi$.

45 **Proof.** Let
$$T_{\eta}: \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1}) \to \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$$
 be defined: $T_{\eta}(\phi) = \eta * \phi$.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

12 M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III **Claim 1.** T_{η} commutes with the G-action: for all $g \in G$, $T_{\eta}[g \cdot \phi] = g \cdot T_{\eta}[\phi]$. 1 3 **Proof.** For any $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{D-1}$. $T_n[q \cdot \phi](\sigma) = [\eta * (q \cdot \phi)](\sigma)$ 5 $= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D-1}} \eta(\sigma, \mathbf{s}) \phi(g \cdot \mathbf{s}) \, d\mathfrak{L}[\mathbf{s}]$ 7 $= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D-1}} \eta(\sigma, g^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}') \phi(\mathbf{s}') \, d\mathfrak{Q}[\mathbf{s}']$ 9 $= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \eta(g \cdot \sigma, \mathbf{s}') \phi(\mathbf{s}') \, d\mathfrak{Q}[\mathbf{s}']$ 11 $= (n * \phi)(q \cdot \sigma) = q \cdot (n * \phi)(\sigma).$ 13 (1) where $\mathbf{s}' := q \cdot \mathbf{s}$. (2) Because η is \mathbb{G} -equivariant. 15 **Claim 2.** T_{η} commutes with \triangle . 17 **Proof.** For each $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^{D-1}$, define $\eta_{\mathbf{s}} : \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$ by $\eta_{\mathbf{s}}(\sigma) = \eta(\mathbf{s}, \sigma) = \eta(\sigma, \mathbf{s})$. Thus, 19 $(\eta * \phi)(\sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D-1}} \eta(\sigma, \mathbf{s}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{s}) \, d\mathfrak{Q}[\mathbf{s}] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D-1}} \phi(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \eta_{\mathbf{s}}(\sigma) \, d\mathfrak{Q}[\mathbf{s}].$ 21 Hence, 23 $\triangle (\eta * \phi)(\sigma) = \triangle \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \phi(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \eta_{\mathbf{s}}(\sigma) \, d\mathfrak{Q}[\mathbf{s}] = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \phi(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \triangle \eta_{\mathbf{s}}(\sigma) \, d\mathfrak{Q}[\mathbf{s}],$ (4)25 because \triangle is a linear operator. 27 Claim 2.1. $\Delta \eta_{s}(\sigma) = \Delta \eta_{\sigma}(\mathbf{s}).$ 29 **Proof.** Find some $g \in \mathbb{G}$ so that $g \cdot \sigma = \mathbf{s}$ and $g \cdot \mathbf{s} = \sigma$. Thus for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{D-1}$. $\eta_{\sigma}(\sigma) = \eta(\sigma, \sigma) = \eta(g \cdot \sigma, g \cdot \sigma) = \eta(\mathbf{s}, g \cdot \sigma) = \eta_{\mathbf{s}}(g \cdot \sigma) = (g \cdot \eta_{\mathbf{s}})(\sigma).$ 31 In other words, 33 $\eta_{\sigma} = (g \cdot \eta_{\mathbf{s}}).$ 35 Thus, $\Delta \eta_{\sigma} = \Delta (q \cdot \eta_{s}) = q \cdot (\Delta \eta_{s}).$ 37 In particular, $\Delta \eta_{\sigma}(\mathbf{s}) = g \cdot (\Delta \eta_{\mathbf{s}})(\mathbf{s}) = \Delta \eta_{\mathbf{s}}(g \cdot \mathbf{s}) = \Delta \eta_{\mathbf{s}}(\sigma).$ 39 Hence, we can rewrite expression (4) as: 41 $\int_{\mathbb{D}^n} \phi(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \Delta \eta_{\sigma}(\mathbf{s}) \, d\mathfrak{L}[\mathbf{s}].$ 43 But \mathbb{S}^{D-1} is a manifold without boundary, so \triangle is self-adjoint [57, Chapter 6].

45 Hence,

ARTICLE IN PRESS

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \phi(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \Delta \eta_{\sigma}(\mathbf{s}) \, d\mathfrak{P}[\mathbf{s}] = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \Delta \phi(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \eta_{\sigma}(\mathbf{s}) \, d\mathfrak{P}[\mathbf{s}] \\
= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \eta(\sigma, \mathbf{s}) \cdot \Delta \phi(\mathbf{s}) \, d\mathfrak{P}[\mathbf{s}] = \eta * (\Delta \phi)(\sigma). \quad \Box$$

5

It follows from Claim 2 that T_{η} must leave invariant all eigenspaces of \triangle ; in other 7 words, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, \mathbb{V}_{λ} is invariant under T_{η} .

But by Claim 1, the restricted map $(T_{\eta})_{|_{V_{\lambda}}} : \mathbb{V}_{\lambda} \to \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}$ is then an isomorphism of linear G-modules. Since G acts *irreducibly* on \mathbb{V}_{λ} (by Proposition 6), it follows from Schurch Lemma that T must set an \mathbb{V}_{λ} by set linear the theorem.

11 Schur's Lemma that T_{η} must act on \mathbb{V}_{λ} by scalar multiplication: thus, there is some $A_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{C}$ so that, for all $\phi \in \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}$,

13
$$T_{\eta}(\phi) = A_{\lambda} \cdot \phi.$$

15 In other words, $\eta * \phi = A_{\lambda} \cdot \phi$. In particular, if $\zeta \in \mathscr{Z}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{V}_{\lambda})$, then $\eta * \zeta = A_{\lambda} \cdot \zeta$; hence we must have $A_{\lambda} = \frac{\eta * \zeta(\mathbf{e})}{\zeta(\mathbf{e})}$. \Box

- 19 **Corollary 10.** Let $\zeta \in \mathscr{Z}_{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbb{V}_{\lambda})$ be a zonal eigenfunction, normalized so that $||\zeta||_2 = 1$. Define $Z : \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$ by
- 21

27

29

31

$$Z(\sigma, \mathbf{s}) = \zeta(g_{\sigma}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}),$$

 $(Z * \phi).$

where $g_{\sigma} \in \mathbb{G}$ is any element so that $g_{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{e} = \sigma$. Then Z is well-defined, independent of the choice of g_{σ} , and is \mathbb{G} -equivariant. Define $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda} \colon \mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1}) \to \mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ by

$$\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}(\phi) = \zeta(\mathbf{e}) \cdot$$

Then \mathbb{P}_{λ} is the orthogonal projection from $\mathbf{L}^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$ onto the eigenspace \mathbb{V}_{λ} .

Proof.

Proof of "Well Defined". If $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{G}$ so that $g_1 \cdot \mathbf{e} = g_2 \cdot \mathbf{e} = \sigma$, then $g_1^{-1} \cdot g_2 \cdot \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}$; thus, $g_1^{-1} \cdot g_2 \in \mathbb{G}_{\mathbf{e}}$. But ζ is zonal about \mathbf{e} , so $\zeta(g_2^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}) = \zeta(g_1^{-1} \cdot g_2 \cdot g_2^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}) = \zeta(g_1^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{s})$.

Proof of "Equivariant". Let $\sigma, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^{D-1}$, and $h \in \mathbb{G}$. Note that we can pick $g_{(h \cdot \sigma)} = h \cdot g_{\sigma}$. Thus,

$$Z(h \cdot \sigma, h \cdot \mathbf{s}) = \zeta(g_{(h \cdot \sigma)}^{-1} \cdot h \cdot \mathbf{s}) = \zeta((h \cdot g_{\sigma})^{-1} \cdot h \cdot \mathbf{s}) = \zeta(g_{\sigma}^{-1} \cdot h^{-1} \cdot h \cdot \mathbf{s})$$
$$= \zeta(g_{\sigma}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}) = Z(\sigma, \mathbf{s}).$$

43

39

41

Proof of "Orthogonal Projection". Since \mathbb{P}_{λ} is defined by a convolution integral, it is clearly a linear operator. It suffices to show that \mathbb{P}_{λ} fixes \mathbb{V}_{λ} , and annihilates $\mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^{\perp}$.

13

ARTICLE IN PRESS

14 M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III 1 If $\phi \in \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}$, then by Proposition 9, $Z * \phi = \frac{(Z * \zeta)(\mathbf{e})}{\zeta(\mathbf{e})} \cdot \phi.$ 3 Thus, $\mathbb{P}_{\lambda}(\phi) = (Z * \zeta)(\mathbf{e}) \cdot \phi$, so it suffices to show that $(Z * \zeta)(\mathbf{e}) = 1$. But: 5 $Z * \zeta(\mathbf{e}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D-1}} Z(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{s}) \zeta(\mathbf{s}) \, d\mathfrak{L}[\mathbf{s}]$ 7 $= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D-1}} \zeta(g_{\mathbf{e}}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}) \cdot \zeta(\mathbf{s}) \, d\mathfrak{L}[\mathbf{s}]$ 9 $= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D-1}} \zeta(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \zeta(\mathbf{s}) \, d\mathfrak{L}[\mathbf{s}] \quad (\text{since } g_{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{Id})$ 11 $= ||\zeta||_2^2 = 1$, by hypothesis. 13 On the other hand, if $\phi \in \mathbb{V}_{\lambda}^{\perp}$, then for all $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^{D-1}$, 15 $Z * \phi(\mathbf{s}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D-1}} \zeta(g_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{s}) \, d\mathfrak{L}[\mathbf{s}]$ 17 $= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{D-1}} (g_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1} \cdot \zeta)(\mathbf{s}) \cdot \phi(\mathbf{s}) \, d\mathfrak{L}[\mathbf{s}]$ 19 $=\langle q_{a}^{-1}\cdot\zeta, \phi\rangle=0,$ 21 because $g_{\mathbf{s}}^{-1} \cdot \zeta \in \mathbb{V}_{\lambda} \perp \phi$. \Box 23 **Proposition 11** (Zonal eigenfunctions of \triangle on \mathbb{S}^{D-1}). The eigenvalues of \triangle on \mathbb{S}^{D-1} 25 are all of the form $\lambda_N = N \cdot (N + D - 2),$ 27 for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Let ζ_N be a corresponding eigenfunction, and assume that ζ_N is zonal 29 (relative to $\mathbb{SO}^{D}(\mathbb{R})$ and **e**). Case D = 2: Modulo multiplication by some normalizing constant, 31 $\zeta_N(\theta) = \cos(N \cdot \theta)$ 33 where we use the coordinate system $(0, 2\pi) \ni \theta \mapsto (\cos(\theta), \sin(\theta)) \in \mathbb{S}^1$. If we write ζ_N in terms of Cartesian coordinates (x_1, x_2) on \mathbb{R}^2 , we get the *Chebyshev polynomials*: 35 $\zeta_N(x_1, x_2) = 2^{(N-1)} x_1^N + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^n 2^{(N-1-2n)} \frac{N}{n} \binom{N-n-1}{n-1} x_1^{(N-2n)}.$ (5)37 39 Case D = 3: Modulo multiplication by some constant, ζ_N is a Legendre polynomial: 41

43
$$\zeta_{N}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} (-1)^{n} 2^{N-2n} \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2} + N - n\right]}{\Gamma\left[\frac{1}{2}\right] \cdot n! \cdot (N-2n)!} \cdot x_{1}^{N-2n}$$
45

45

ARTICLE IN PRESS

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

1 Case $D \ge 4$: Let $v = \frac{D-2}{2}$. For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in [0..N/2]$, define coefficients $c_{N;n}^{(v)} = \frac{\Gamma(v+(N-n))}{\Gamma(v)\cdot n! \cdot (N-2n)!}$, and define the (N, v)th Gegenbauer polynomial:

5
$$C_N^{(\nu)}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} (-1)^n 2^{N-2n} \cdot c_{N;n}^{(\nu)} \cdot x^{N-2n}.$$

7 Let

$$K_N^{(\nu)} = ||C_N^{(\nu)}||_2 = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} |C_N^{(\nu)}(x_1)|^2 \, d\mathbf{x}}$$
$$= \frac{\sqrt{2} \cdot \pi^{(D-1)/4}}{\pi^{(D-1)/4}}$$

11

9

13

¹⁹ Assume that ζ_N is of unit norm. Then ζ_N is a normalized Gegenbauer polynomial:

21
$$\zeta_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_D) = \frac{1}{K_N^{(\nu)}} C_N^{(\nu)}(x_1).$$

23

25 Proof.

- 27 **Proof of Characterization of Eigenvalues.** See [57, Chapter 6], [53, Chapter 3], or [43, Corollary 42, Section 5.2].
- 29

Proof of Case D = 2. It is clear from the definition of the Laplacian on S¹ that the function ζ_N is an eigenfunction of ΔS¹. The subgroup of SO²(ℝ) fixing e is just the two-element group of maps (x₁, x₂) → (x₁, ±x₂); since the function ζ_N is symmetric relative to the x₂ variable, it is zonal relative to these maps.

The formula (5) is then just a standard trigonometric identity, where we identify $x_1 = \cos(\theta)$; see, for example [24, Section 1.33(3), p. 27].

- 37 Proof of Case D = 3. This is just the Gegenbauer polynomial when D = 3. For a direct proof, see, for example [54, Theorem 1, Section 2.1, p. 90], where there is
 39 unfortunately an error in the definition of the Legendre functions—see [51, Section 1, Sec
- unfortunately an error in the definition of the Legendre functions—see [51, Section 1, p. 2] for a correct definition.
- 41

Proof of Case $D \ge 4$. This is just a big computation. See [43, Proposition 44, Section 43 5.2] or [53]. \Box

45

15

Ç

ARTICLE IN PRESS

16

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

1 3. Spherical Fourier series

3

5 **Theorem 12** (Spherical Fourier analysis). For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\zeta_n : \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$ be the zonal harmonic polynomials defined by Proposition 11, and then define $\mathscr{Z}_n : \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

9

$$\mathscr{Z}_n(\mathbf{s},\sigma) = \zeta_n(e) \cdot \zeta_n \langle \mathbf{s},\sigma \rangle.$$

Then \mathscr{Z}_n is rotationally equivariant.

11 Now, suppose $\gamma \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^{D-1}; \mathbb{C})$. If we define $\gamma_n \coloneqq \mathscr{Z}_n * \gamma$ then $\gamma_n \in \mathbb{V}_{(\lambda_n)}$, and γ has the 13 orthogonal decomposition:

$$\gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n.$$

17

Proof. This follows from Theorem 8 and Corollary 10, using the zonal functions provided by Proposition 11. \Box

(6)

21 **Corollary 13** ((De)convolution on spheres). Suppose $\eta : \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \times \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$ is rotationally equivariant, and suppose that $\mathbf{g} \coloneqq \eta * \gamma$. If, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, ζ_n and \mathscr{Z}_n are as in Theorem 12 and we define

23 Theorem 12, and we define

25
$$\mathbf{g}_n \coloneqq \mathscr{Z}_n * \mathbf{g}, \quad and \quad A_n \coloneqq \frac{(\eta * \zeta_n)(\mathbf{e}_1)}{\zeta_n(\mathbf{e}_1)}$$

27 then $\mathbf{g}_n = A_n \cdot \gamma_n$.

Conversely, suppose that γ is unknown, but we know η and \mathbf{g} . We can reconstruct γ via the formula:

31
$$\qquad \gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{A_n} \mathbf{g}_n$$

33

35

Proof. Combine Theorem 13 and Proposition 9. \Box

37 If $\gamma \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$, then the spherical Fourier Coefficients of γ are the functions $\gamma_n := \mathscr{Z}_n * \gamma$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. (Notice that these "coefficients" are themselves functions, not numbers). The spherical Fourier series for γ is then the orthogonal decomposition 39 $\gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n$.

41

Example (Spherical Fourier series on \mathbb{S}^1). Let for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\zeta_N : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{C}$ be as in Part 1 of Proposition 11:

45
$$\zeta_N(\theta) = \cos(N\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathscr{E}_N(\theta) + \mathscr{E}_{(-N)}(\theta) \right),$$

ARTICLE IN PRESS

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

1 where we identify $\mathbb{S}^1 \cong [0, 2\pi)$, and define $\mathscr{E}_K(\theta) \coloneqq \exp(K\theta \cdot \mathbf{i})$. Let $\mathscr{Z}_N : \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{C}$ be defined from ζ_N as in Theorem 13. Then, for any $\gamma : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{R}$,

- 5

7

$$= \underset{(1)}{=} \gamma * \zeta_N = \frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma * \mathscr{E}_N + \gamma * \mathscr{E}_{(-N)} \right)$$

 $= \frac{1}{(2)} \left(\hat{\gamma}(N) \cdot \mathscr{E}_N + \hat{f}(-N) \cdot \mathscr{E}_{(-N)} \right)$

9

$$=\frac{1}{(3)2}(\hat{\gamma}(N)\cdot\mathscr{E}_N+\overline{\hat{\gamma}(N)}\cdot\mathscr{E}_N)$$

13

 $= \mathbf{re}[\hat{\gamma}(N) \cdot \mathscr{E}_N].$

 $\gamma_N = \mathscr{Z}_N * \gamma$

15

- (1) Here, convolution is meant in the "usual" sense on the group $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{T}^1$.
- ¹⁷ (2) Here, $\hat{\gamma}$ is the (classical) Fourier transform of γ as a function on the circle.
- (3) Because γ is real-valued.

21

Now, if we write $\hat{\gamma}(N) = r_N \exp(\phi_N \cdot \mathbf{i})$, where $r_N \in [0, \infty)$ and $\phi_N \in [0, 2\pi)$, then,

23 for any $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^1 \cong [0, 2\pi)$, we have:

$$\gamma_N(\theta) =$$

$$= r_N \cdot \mathbf{re}[\exp(\phi_N \mathbf{i}) \cdot \exp(N \cdot \theta \cdot \mathbf{i})]$$

27 29

$$= r_N \cdot \mathbf{re} \left[\exp \left(N \cdot \left(\theta + \frac{\phi_N}{N} \right) \cdot \mathbf{i} \right) \right]$$

 $= r_N \cdot \mathbf{re} \left| \mathscr{E}_N \left(\theta + \frac{\phi_N}{N} \right) \right|$

 $\mathbf{re}[r_N \cdot \exp(\phi_N \mathbf{i}) \cdot \mathscr{E}_N(\theta)]$

 $= r_N \cdot \zeta_N \left(\theta + \frac{\phi_N}{N} \right).$

- In other words, convolving ζ_N by γ is equivalent to multiplying the magnitude of ζ_N by r_N , and rotating the phase by ϕ_N/N .
- 37

4. Asymptotic decay and convergence rates

41

In classical harmonic analysis, the infinitesimal properties of a function f are reflected in the asymptotic behaviour of its Fourier transform, and vice versa. Generally, the smoother f is, the more rapidly \hat{f} decays near infinity. Conversely, if f

45 is very "jaggy", undifferentiable, or discontinuous, then \hat{f} decays slowly or not at all

17

ARTICLE IN PRESS

18

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

- 1 near infinity, reflecting a concentration of the "energy" of f in high frequency Fourier components.
- 3 Hence, when approximating f by partial Fourier sums, the more jaggy f is, the more slowly the sum converges, and the more terms we must include in the sum to

5 obtain a good approximation.

A similar phenomenon manifests when approximating a function $\gamma : \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$ by a spherical Fourier series. By relating the decay rate of the spherical Fourier series to the smoothness of γ , we will be able to estimate the error introduced by 9 approximating γ with a partial spherical Fourier sum.

If $\alpha > 0$, then we say that a sequence of functions $[\gamma_n|_{n=1}^{\infty}]$ is of *order* less than or equal to $\mathcal{O}(n^{-\alpha})$ if

13
$$0 \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{\alpha} \cdot ||\gamma_n||_2 < \infty.$$

15

Theorem 14. Let $\gamma : \mathbb{S}^{D-1} \to \mathbb{C}$, and suppose that γ is continuously 2*M*-differentiable. 17 Then the sequence $[\gamma_n|_{n=1}^{\infty}]$ is of order less than or equal to $\mathcal{O}(n^{-(2M+1)})$.

- 19 **Proof.** First suppose that γ is twice continuously differentiable. Thus, using the inductive formula from Theorem 3 we can apply $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}}$ to γ . Let $\alpha = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \gamma$. Since α
- ²¹ is a continuous function, it is in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$, and we can compute the spherical Fourier coefficients $\alpha_n = \mathscr{Z}_n * \alpha$, for all *n*, and conclude that $\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n$. In particular,
- ²³ since this sum converges absolutely in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$, we know that the sequence $[\alpha_n|_{n=1}^{\infty}]$ ²⁵ is of order less than $\mathcal{O}(n^{-1})$.

By construction, we know that $\gamma_n = \mathscr{Z}_n * \gamma$ is an eigenfunction of $\triangle_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}}$, with eigenvalue $\lambda_n = n(n + D - 2)$. By Claim 2 of Proposition 9, the Laplacian operator commutes with convolution operators. Thus,

29
$$n(n+D-2)\gamma_n = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}}\gamma_n = \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}}(\mathscr{Z}_n * \gamma)$$

$$=\mathscr{Z}_n*(\Delta_{\mathfrak{S}^{D-1}}\gamma)=\mathscr{Z}_n*\alpha$$

33

 $= \mathfrak{Z}_n * (\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \gamma) =$ $= \alpha_n.$

Since this is true for all *n*, we conclude that $[\gamma_n|_{n=1}^{\infty}]$ is of order less than or equal to 35 $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{n(n+D-2)}) \cdot \mathcal{O}(n^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}(n^{-3}).$

Proceed inductively to prove the general case. \Box

39 If $f, g: \mathbb{R}^{D} \to \mathbb{C}$, then we define $||f - g||_{p} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}} |f(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{x})|$, and, for any $p \in [1, \infty)$, we define

41

37

$$||f-g||_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^p} |f(\mathbf{x}) - g(\mathbf{x})|^p \, d\mathbf{x}\right)^{1/p}$$

43

The following lemma is technical, but not difficult to prove [43, Corollaries 14–15, Section 3.2].

ARTICLE IN PRESS

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

Lemma 15. Suppose $\alpha \neq 1$, and that $[\rho_k|_{k=1}^{\infty}]$ is a sequence of α -stable probability 1 measures on \mathbb{R}^D , with density functions $[F_k|_{k=1}^{\infty}]$, spectral measures $[\Gamma_k|_{k=1}^{\infty}]$, and spherical log-characteristic functions $[\mathbf{g}_k|_{k=1}^{\infty}]$. Let ρ be some other α -stable measure 3 with density F, spectral measure Γ , and spherical log-characteristic function **g**. Suppose

5 that $\liminf_{k \to \infty} \min_{s \in S^{D-1}} \mathbf{g}_k(s) > 0$, and $\min_{s \in S^{D-1}} \mathbf{g}(s) > 0$. Then:

- 7
 - 1. If Γ_k (resp. Γ) has Radon–Nikodym derivative γ_k (resp. γ), and $\lim_{k\to\infty} ||\gamma \gamma_k||_2 =$
- 9 0, then for every $q \in [1, \infty]$, $\lim_{k \to \infty} ||F - F_k||_q = 0$.
- 2. There is a constant C > 0 so that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $||F F_k||_{\infty} < C \cdot ||\gamma \gamma_k||_2$. 11

13

Corollary 16 (Application to spectral measures). Let $\alpha \in [0, 2), \alpha \neq 1$, and suppose ρ is an α -stable probability measure on \mathbb{R}^D with density function $F: \mathbb{R}^D \to [0, \infty)$, spectral 15 measure Γ , and spherical log-characteristic function **g**, with $\min_{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}^{D-1}} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{s}) > 0$. Suppose

- 17 that Γ is absolutely continuous relative to \mathfrak{L} , and that $d\Gamma = \gamma d\mathfrak{L}$, where $\gamma \in \mathbf{L}^2(\mathbb{S}^{D-1}; \mathfrak{L})$ has spherical Fourier series $\gamma = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n$. For all $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\gamma^{[N]} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_n$, let $\Gamma^{[N]} = \gamma^{[N]} \mathfrak{L}$, and let $\rho^{[N]}$ be the corresponding 19
- α -stable probability measure, with density function $F^{[N]}: \mathbb{R}^D \to [0, \infty)$. 21
 - If $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^{2M}(\mathbb{S}^{D-1})$, then, for all $p \in [1, \infty]$, $\lim_{k \to \infty} ||F F^{[n]}||_p = 0$.
- Furthermore, $||F F^{[n]}||_{\infty}$ is of order less than $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2M})$. 23
- 25 **Proof.** By Theorem 14, we know that $||\gamma - \gamma^{[n]}||_2$ is of order less than $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2M})$. Thus, applying Lemma 15, we conclude that $||F - F^{[n]}||_p$ is of order less than $\mathcal{O}(n^{-2M})$. 27
- 29

5. Conclusion 31

By expressing the log characteristic function \mathbf{g} of Eq. (3) as a spherical Fourier 33 series via Theorem 12, and then applying the "deconvolution" formula from Corollary 13, we can reconstruct a spherical Fourier series for the spectral measure 35

Γ.

The advantages of this approach are three-fold. First, once we have expressed g in 37 terms of its spherical Fourier series, computing Γ is extremely straightforward; we

- need only divide the spherical Fourier coefficients of g by the constants A_n of 39 Corollary 13. Computation of the Fourier coefficients, in turn, involves convolution
- with Gegenbauer polynomials. A closed-form expression for these polynomials is 41 given (Theorem 11). This convolution can be computed by numerical integration
- over \mathbb{S}^{D-1} . To obtain a precision of ε requires a computation of complexity 43 $\mathcal{O}(N^{2(D-1)})$ (where $N \sim 1/\varepsilon$), to be contrasted with the $\mathcal{O}(N^{3(D-1)})$ required by an
- explicit matrix-inversion approach. 45

ARTICLE IN PRESS

20

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

¹ Second, if Γ is absolutely continuous with a C^{2M} Radon–Nikodym derivative, then the spherical Fourier series converges in \mathbf{L}^2 at a rate of $\mathcal{O}(N^{2M})$ (Theorem 14) so

 that the estimated stable probability density function in converges at a rate of *O*(*N*^{2M}) in L^p, for 1≤*p*≤∞ (Corollary 16).
 Eincelly, a subgrided Equation series explicitly represente *L* as a continuous object on

⁵ Finally, a spherical Fourier series explicitly represents Γ as a *continuous* object on \mathbb{S}^{D-1} , rather than as a sum of atoms, thereby avoiding the introduction of anomalous asymptotic behaviour to the estimated probability distribution.

9

11 References

- [1] R. Adler, R. Feldman, M. Taqqu (Eds.), A Practical Guide to Heavy Tailed Data, Birkhauser, Boston, 1998.
- [2] A. Araujo, E. Giné, The Central Limit Theorem for Real and Banach Valued Random Variables,Wiley, New York, 1980.
- [3] V.S. Bawa, E.J. Elton, M.J. Gruber, Simple rules for optimal portfolio selection in stable paretian markets, J. Finance 34 (1979) 1041–1047.
 - [4] T. Brocker, T. tom Dieck, Representations of Compact Lie Groups, Springer, New York, 1985.
- [5] S. Cambanis, G. Miller, Linear problems in *p*th order stable processes, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 43 (1) (1981) 43–69.
- [6] I. Chavel, Riemannian Geometry: A Modern Introduction, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 21 MA, 1993.
 - [7] B.N. Cheng, S.T. Rachev, Multivariate stable securities in financial markets, Math. Finance.
- [8] G. Christoph, W. Wolf, Convergence Theorems with a Stable Limit Law, Akadamie, Berlin, 1993.
- [9] R.R. Coifman, G. Wiess, Representations of compact groups and spherical harmonics, L'Enseignment Math. 14 (1968) 123–173.
- 25 [10] J.B. Conway, A Course in Functional Analysis, 2nd Edition, Springer, New York, 1990.
- [11] R. Courant, D. Hilbert, Methods of Mathematical Physics, Vol. I, Wiley–Interscience, New York,
 1961.
 - [12] H. Dym, P. McKean, Fourier Series and Integrals, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [13] P. Embrechts, C. Klüppelberg, T. Mikosch, Modelling Extremal Events for Insurance and Finance, Springer, Heidelberg, 1997.
- [14] A. Erdelyi, et al., Higher Transcendental Functions, Vol. I, II, III, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953– 1955.
- [15] L.C. Evans, Partial Differential Equations, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.
- [16] E.F. Fama, Mandelbrot and the stable Paretian hypothesis, J. Business (Chicago) 36 (1963) 420–429.
 [17] F.F. Fama, The distribution of doily stable prices a test of Mandelbert's stable Paretian hypothesis. J.
- [17] E.F. Fama, The distribution of daily stock prices: a test of Mandelbrot's stable Paretian hypothesis, J. Business (Chicago) 38 (1965) 34–105.
- 35 [18] E.F. Fama, Portfolio analysis in a stable Paretian market, Management Sci. 6 (1965) 404–419.
- [19] E. Feldheim, Etude de la stabilité des lois de probabilitié, Ph.D. Thesis, Thése de la Faculté des
 37 Sciences de Paris, 1937.
- [20] W. Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, 2nd Edition, Vol. II, Wiley, New York, 1966.
- 39 [21] B.D. Fielitz, E.W. Smith, Asymmetric stable distributions of stock price change, J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. (Appl.) 67 (340) (1972) 813–814.
- 41 [22] A. Gamba, Portfolio analysis with symmetric stable paretian returns, in: E. Canestrelli (Ed.), Current Topics in Quantitative Finance, Physica, Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 48–69.
- 43 [23] A. Gamba, Portfolio analysis with symmetric stable paretian returns, in: Current Topics in Quantitative Finance, Contributions in Management Science, Physica, Heidelberg, 1999.
- [24] I.S. Gradshteyn, I.M. Ryzhik, Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products, Corrected and enlarged edition, Academic Press, Toronto, 1980.

ARTICLE IN PRESS

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

- [25] S. Helgason, Topics in Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Spaces, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1981.
 [26] J. Holtsmark, Über die verbreiterung von spektrallinien, Ann. Physik 4 (58 363) (1919) 577–630.
- [27] T. Hurd, J. Boland, M. Pivato, L. Seco, Measures of dependence for multivariate lévy distributions, in: Disordered and Complex Systems, Vol. 553 of American Institute of Physics Conference Proceedings (London), 2000, pp. 289–295.
- 5 [28] A. Janicki, A. Weron, Simulation and Chaotic Behaviour of α-stable Stochastic Processes, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1994.
- [29] J. Kuelbs, A representation theorem for symmetric stable processes and symmetric measures on h, Z.
 Wahrscheinlichtkeitstheorie verwalte Gebiete 26 (1973) 259–271.
- [30] N.N. Lebedev, Special Functions and Applications, Dover, New York, 1972.
- 9 [50] N.N. Lebeauv, operar l'unchons and Applications, Dovel, New York, 1972.
 [31] W.E. Leland, M.S. Taqqu, W. Willinger, On the self-similar nature of ethernet traffic, IEEE/ACM Trans. Network. 2 (1994) 1–15.
- [32] B.B. Mandelbrot, The variation of certain speculative prices, in: Fractals and Scaling in Finance: Discontinuities, Concentration, Risk, Springer, New York, 1965.
- [33] R.N. Mantegna, H.E. Stanley, Scaling behaviour in the dynamics of an economic index, Nature 376 (6) (1995) 46–49.
- [34] J.H. McCulloch, Financial applications of stable distributions, in: Statistical Methods in Finance,
 Vol. 14 of Handbook of Statistics, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1996, pp. 393–425.
- [35] J.H. McCulloch, J.P. Nolan, A.K. Panorska, Estimation of stable spectral measures, 1997 (submitted).
- [36] C. Müller, Spherical Harmonics, in: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 17, Springer, New York, 1966.
 [27] C.L. Nikira, A.D. Petranulu, Histor, Order, Spectral Application, A. Nachriger, Signal Processing, 1986.
- [37] C.L. Nikias, A.P. Petropulu, Higher Order Spectral Analysis: A Nonlinear Signal Processing Environment, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1993.
- 21 [38] C.L. Nikias, M. Shao, Signal Processing with Alpha-Stable Distributions and Applications, Wiley, New York, 1995.
- 23 [39] J.P. Nolan, Multivariate stable distributions: approximation, estimation, simulation, and identification, 1999 (preprint), available from http://www.cas.american.edu/~jpnolan.
- [40] J.P. Nolan, Stable distributions, April 1999 (preprint), available from http://www.cas.american.edu/
 ⁵jpnolan.
- [41] J.P. Nolan, Anna K. Panorska, Data analysis for heavy tailed multivariate samples, Comm. Statist.
 Stochastic Models 13 (4) (1997) 687–702.
- [42] R.D. Peirce, Application of the positive α -stable distribution, in: Signal Processing Workshop on Higher-Order Statistics, IEEE Press, Piscataway, New Jersey, 1997, pp. 420–424.
- 29 [43] M. Pivato, Analytical Methods for Multivariate Stable Probability Distributions, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Toronto, 2001, available at http://xaravve.trentu.ca/pivato/Research/thesis.pdf.
- 31 [44] J.S. Press, Estimation in univariate and multivariate stable distributions, J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. (Theory and Methods) 67 (340) (1972) 842–846.
- 33 [45] J.S. Press, Multivariate stable distributions, J. Multivariate Anal. 2 (1972) 444–462.
- [46] S.T. Rachev, Huang Xin, Test for association of random variables in the domain of attraction of multivariate stable law, Probab. Math. Statist. 14 (1) (1993) 125–141.
- 35 [47] G. Samorodnitsky, M.S. Taqqu, Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes: Stochastic Models with Infinite Variance, Chapman & Hall, New York, 1994.
- 37 [48] P.A. Samuelson, Efficient portfolio selection for pareto-levy investments, J. Financial Quantitat. Anal. 2 (1967) 107–122.
- 39 [49] B.W. Stuck, B. Kleiner, A statistical analysis of telephone noise, Bell Systems Tech. J. 53 (1974) 1263– 1320.
- [50] M. Sugiura, Unitary Representations and Harmonic Analysis, Wiley, New York, 1975.
- 41 [51] M. Takeuchi, Modern Spherical Functions, Vol. 135 of Translations of Mathematical Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.
- 43 [52] J.D. Talman, Special Functions: A Group Theoretic Approach, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [53] M.E. Taylor, Noncommutative Harmonic Analysis, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- 45

YJMVA 2159

ARTICLE IN PRESS

22

M. Pivato, L. Seco / Journal of Multivariate Analysis I (IIII) III-III

- 1 [54] A. Terras, Harmonic Analysis on Symmetric Spaces and Applications, Vol. I, Springer, New York, 1985.
- [55] P. Tsakalides, C. Nikias, Maximum likelihood localization of sources in noise modelled as a stable 3 process, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. 43 (1995).
- [56] N.J. Vilenkin, Special Functions and the Theory of Group Representations, Vol. 22 of Translations 5 of Mathematical Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1968.
- [57] F.M. Warner, Foundations of Differentiable Manifolds and Lie Groups, Springer, New York, 1983. [58] W. Willinger, V. Paxson, Where mathematics meets the internet, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (8) 7 (1998) 961-970.
- [59] W. Willinger, M.S. Taqqu, A. Erramilli, A bibliographical guide to self-similar traffic and 9 performance modelling for modern high-speed networks, in: F.P. Kelly, S. Zachary, I. Ziedins (Eds.), Stochastic Networks: Theory and Applications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 1996, pp. 339-366.
- 11
- [60] W. Willinger, M. Taqqu, R. Sherman, D. Wilson, Self-similarity through high variability: statistical analysis of ethernet lan traffic at the source level, in: Proceedings of the ACM/SIGCOMM '95, 1995, 13 pp. 100-113.
- [61] V.M. Zolotarev, One-dimensional Stable Distribution, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 15 RI, 1986.