## Mathematics 1101Y – Calculus I: Functions and calculus of one variable TRENT UNIVERSITY, 2012–2013

## Solutions to Assignment #4 Breaking limits

One of the things we've skipped over was the formal definition of limit, that is, how to pin down just what  $\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = L$  really means. The usual definition of limits is something like:

 $\varepsilon - \delta$  DEFINITION OF LIMITS.  $\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = L$  exactly when for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that for any x with  $|x - a| < \delta$  we are guaranteed to have  $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$  as well.

Informally, this means that no matter how close – that's the  $\varepsilon$  – you want f(x) to get to L, you can make it happen by ensuring that x is close enough – that's the  $\delta$  – to a. If this can always be done,  $\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = L$ ; if not, then  $\lim_{x \to a} f(x) \neq L$ .

This definition works, but most people find it a little hard to understand and use at first. Here is less common definition equivalent to the one above that is cast in terms of a game:

LIMIT GAME DEFINITION OF LIMITS. The *limit game* for f(x) at x = a with target L is a three-move game played between two players A and B as follows:

- 1. A moves first, picking a small number  $\varepsilon > 0$ .
- 2. B moves second, picking another small number  $\delta > 0$ .
- 3. A moves third, picking an x that is within  $\delta$  of a, *i.e.*  $a \delta < x < a + \delta$ .

To determine the winner, we evaluate f(x). If it is within  $\varepsilon$  of the target L, *i.e.*  $L - \varepsilon < f(x) < L + \varepsilon$ , then player B wins; if not, then player A wins.

With this idea in hand  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) = L$  means that player *B* has a winning strategy in the limit game for f(x) at x = a with target *L*; that is, if *B* plays it right, *B* will win no matter what *A* tries to do. (At least within the rules ...:-) Conversely,  $\lim_{x\to a} f(x) \neq L$  means that player *A* is the one with a winning strategy in the limit game for f(x) at x = a with target *L*.

Your task in this assignment, should you choose to accept it, is to find such winning strategies:

1. Describe a winning strategy for B in the limit game for f(x) = 3x - 2 at x = 2 with target 4. Note that no matter what number  $\varepsilon A$  plays first, B must have a way to find a  $\delta$  to play that will make it impossible for A to play an x that wins for A on the third move. [3]

SOLUTION. Whatever  $\varepsilon > 0$  A may play, B will win by responding with  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ . (Any positive  $\delta$  which is even smaller will also work.) No matter what x A chooses with  $|x-2| < \delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ , we have

$$|f(x) - 4| = |(3x - 2) - 4| = |3x - 6| = 3|x - 2| < 3\delta = 3\frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon,$$

so B wins.

NOTE: How does one get  $\delta = \varepsilon/3$ ? By reverse-engineering the  $\delta$  from the desired conclusion,  $|f(x) - 4| < \varepsilon$ :

$$|f(x) - 4| < \varepsilon \iff |(3x - 2) - 4| < \varepsilon \iff |3x - 6| < \varepsilon \iff 3|x - 2| < \varepsilon \iff |x - 2| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \qquad \blacksquare$$

2. Describe a winning strategy for A in the limit game for f(x) = 3x - 2 at x = 2 with target 5. Note that A must pick an  $\varepsilon$  on the first move so that no matter what  $\delta$  B tries to play on the second move, A can still find an x to play on move three that wins for A. [3] SOLUTION. For the first move, let A play  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ . (Any positive  $\varepsilon \leq 1$  will also work.) No matter what  $\delta > 0$  B plays in response, A can respond in turn with any x such that  $2 - \delta < x < 2$ . Since

$$x < 2 \implies f(x) = 3x - 2 < 3 \cdot 2 - 2 = 4 < 4.5 = 5 - \frac{1}{2} = 5 - \varepsilon$$

so  $|f(x) - 5| \ge \frac{1}{2} = \varepsilon$ , which means that A wins.

NOTE: How does one figure out what  $\varepsilon$  to pick to begin with? You need one that is small enough to separate the target, 5, from where the function is really going, f(2) = 4. That is, any  $\varepsilon$  that is less than the distance between these two numbers, 5-4=1, will do. (Now, why does  $\varepsilon = 1$  still – barely – do the job?)

- **3.** Use either definition of limits above to verify that  $\lim_{x \to 1} (x^2 + x + 1) = 3$ . [4]
  - *Hint*: The choice of  $\delta$  will probably require some slightly indirect reasoning. Pick some arbitrary smallish positive number, say 0.5, for  $\delta$  as a first cut. If it doesn't do the job, but x is at least that close, you'll have some more information to help pin down the  $\delta$  you really need.

SOLUTION. As in the note after the solution to problem 1, we will attempt to reverse-engineer the  $\delta > 0$  required:

$$|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon \iff |(x^2 + x + 1) - 3| < \varepsilon \iff |x^2 + x - 2| < \varepsilon$$
$$\Leftrightarrow |(x + 2)(x - 1)| < \varepsilon \iff |x - 1| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x + 2|}$$

The problem is that  $\delta$  may not depend on x. (Recall that A plays x after B plays  $\delta$ .) Following the hint, we get around this problem by accepting no  $\delta$  greater than 0.5. (Any number that is less than the distance between x = 1 and x = -2 will do, actually.) This lets us put bounds around |x+2| and so replace it with a constant in  $\frac{\varepsilon}{|x+2|}$  above. If  $|x-1| < \delta \le 0.5$ , then

$$\begin{array}{rl} -0.5 < x-1 < 0.5 \ \Leftrightarrow \ 0.5 = -0.5 + 1 < x = x - 1 + 1 < 0.5 + 1 = 1.5 \\ \Leftrightarrow \ 2.5 = 0.5 + 2 < x + 2 < 1.5 + 2 = 3.5 \\ \Leftrightarrow \ \frac{2}{5} = \frac{1}{2.5} > \frac{1}{x+2} > \frac{1}{3.5} = \frac{2}{7} \,. \end{array}$$

Note that it also follows that if  $|x - 1| < \delta \le 0.5$ , then x + 2 > 0 and so |x + 2| = x + 2. Thus, if  $|x - 1| < \delta \le 0.5$ , we have  $|x - 1| < \frac{2\varepsilon}{5} < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x + 2|}$ . It follows that if we let  $\delta = \min\left(0.5, \frac{2\varepsilon}{5}\right)$ , the lesser of 0.5 and  $\frac{2\varepsilon}{3}$ , then no matter what x is chosen that satisfies  $|x - 1| < \delta$ , we get that  $\delta \le 0.5$ , and so

$$|x-1| < \min\left(0.5, \frac{2\varepsilon}{5}\right) \le \frac{2\varepsilon}{5} < \frac{\varepsilon}{|x+2|} \implies |(x+2)(x-1)| < \varepsilon$$
$$\implies |x^2 + x - 2| < \varepsilon$$
$$\implies |(x^2 + x + 1) - 3| < \varepsilon,$$

as required to show that  $\lim_{x \to 1} (x^2 + x + 1) = 3$ .