

Extensions, theories, and axioms

Proposition 6.17: Suppose \mathcal{L} is a first-order language and \mathcal{L}' is an extension of it. Then a set of formulas Σ of \mathcal{L} is satisfiable in \mathcal{L} iff it is satisfiable in \mathcal{L}' .

(Recall that if \mathcal{L}' is an extension of \mathcal{L} , then every formula of \mathcal{L} is a formula of \mathcal{L}' .)

proof: \Rightarrow Suppose \mathcal{M} is a structure for \mathcal{L} satisfying Σ on some assignment $s: V \rightarrow |\mathcal{M}|$, i.e. $\mathcal{M} \models \Sigma[s]$.

Extend \mathcal{M} to make a structure \mathcal{M}' for \mathcal{L}' as follows:

1) $|\mathcal{M}'| = |\mathcal{M}|$ i.e. \mathcal{M}' uses the same universe as \mathcal{M} .

2) For every constant symbol c of \mathcal{L}' , let

$c^{\mathcal{M}'} = c^{\mathcal{M}}$ if c is in \mathcal{L} and $c^{\mathcal{M}'} \in |\mathcal{M}'|$ otherwise
↑ arbitrarily chosen.

- 3) For every ^{k-place} function symbol f of \mathcal{L}' , let ②
 $f^{\mathcal{M}'} = f^{\mathcal{M}}$ if f is a ^{k-place function} symbol of \mathcal{L}
 & $f^{\mathcal{M}'}$ is some arbitrary k-place function on \mathcal{M}' otherwise.
- 4) For every ^{k-place} relation symbol P of \mathcal{L}' , let
 $P^{\mathcal{M}'} = P^{\mathcal{M}}$ if P is a k-place relation symbol in \mathcal{L}
 and $P^{\mathcal{M}'}$ is some arbitrary k-place relation ^{on \mathcal{M}'} otherwise.

We can then show that $\mathcal{M}' \models \sigma[s] \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \models \sigma[s]$
 for every formula σ of \mathcal{L} . [By induction on
 how terms & formulas are interpreted in a structure,
 i.e. an induction on how terms and formulas are built.]
 Essentially, \mathcal{M} & \mathcal{M}' are identical except for things
 that don't occur in σ since σ is a formula of \mathcal{L} .

$\boxed{\Leftarrow}$ Suppose \mathcal{M}' is a structure for \mathcal{L}' satisfying Σ_c on
 assignment s , i.e. $\mathcal{M}' \models \sigma[s]$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_c$,
↑
set of formulas of \mathcal{L}

We cut down \mathcal{M}' to be a structure for \mathcal{L} , by ⁽³⁾
simply discarding the interpretations of all the symbols
in \mathcal{L}' but not \mathcal{L} and keeping the ^{interpretations of the} ones in \mathcal{L} unchanged.

Again, we can show that $\mathcal{M}' \models \sigma[s] \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \models \sigma[s]$
for all the formulas σ of \mathcal{L} . [Same induction...]

Def'n: (1) If \mathcal{M} is a structure for \mathcal{L} , then the theory of \mathcal{M}
is $Th(\mathcal{M}) = \{ \tau \mid \tau \text{ is a sentence of } \mathcal{L} \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{M} \models \tau \}$,
(2) If Γ is a set of sentences and \mathcal{S} is a collection
of structures (all for a first-order language \mathcal{L}),
then Γ is a set of (non-logical) axioms for \mathcal{S} ,
if $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{S} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \models \Gamma$.

* Note that we may have different structures \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N}
for a language s.t. $Th(\mathcal{M}) = Th(\mathcal{N})$
i.e. $\mathcal{M} \models \sigma \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{N} \models \sigma$ for all sentences σ of \mathcal{L} .