

# MATH-COIS 4215H - Truth & Consequences

2021-01-18

①

(Chapter 2 in the textbook.)

We'll be using a classical "two-valued" logic with the "truth value", T (true) and F (false). Recall from 2200H, or elsewhere, that we can use truth tables to define how the connectives interact with truth values.

$A$	$\neg A$
T	F
F	T

  

$A$	$B$	$A \rightarrow B$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

We'll need a more formal and precise approach (2)  
(still be compatible with truth tables as we know them).

Def'n: A truth assignment decides the truth value of each atomic formula. Formally, a truth assignment is a function  $v$  whose domain is the set of all atomic formulas of  $\mathcal{L}_p$  and whose range is the set  $\{T, F\}$  of truth values.

i.e.  $v(A_n)$  gives us  $T$  or  $F$  (for each atomic formula  $A_n$ )

In terms of truth tables:

$A_0$	$A_1$	$A_2$	...
$v(A_0)$	$v(A_1)$	$v(A_2)$	...

- each truth assignment is like a row of the ultimate truth table for  $\mathcal{L}_p$ . (at least for atomic formulas)

Def'n: (cont'd) We extend each truth assignment ③  
on the atomic formulas to all the formulas of  $\mathcal{L}_p$   
as follows:

(1)  $v(A_n)$  is defined for all  $A_n$

(2) For any formula  $\alpha$  for which  $v(\alpha)$  has been defined, let  $v(\neg\alpha) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } v(\alpha) = F \\ F & \text{if } v(\alpha) = T \end{cases}$ .

(3) For any formulas  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  for which  $v(\alpha)$  and  $v(\beta)$  are defined,

let  $v(\alpha \rightarrow \beta) = \begin{cases} F & \text{if } v(\alpha) = T \text{ and } v(\beta) = F \\ T & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Suppose we have the formula  $A_0 \rightarrow (\neg(A_1 \rightarrow A_2))$

and our truth assignment  $v$  has the values

$v(A_0) = T$ ,  $v(A_1) = F$ ,  $v(A_2) = T$  for the atomic

formulas occurring in the given formula.

Then (hard way)

④

$$\begin{aligned} & v(A_0 \rightarrow (\neg(A_1 \rightarrow A_2))) \\ &= \begin{cases} F & \text{if } v(A_0) = T \text{ \& } v(\neg(A_1 \rightarrow A_2)) = F \\ T & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

But  ~~$v(A_0) = T$~~ , so we need to know  $v(\neg(A_1 \rightarrow A_2))$ .

$$v(\neg(A_1 \rightarrow A_2)) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } v(A_1 \rightarrow A_2) = F \\ F & \text{if } v(A_1 \rightarrow A_2) = T \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Since } v(A_1 \rightarrow A_2) = \begin{cases} F & \text{if } v(A_1) = T \text{ \& } v(A_2) = F \\ T & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and  $v(A_1) = F$  \&  $v(A_2) = T$ ,  $v(A_1 \rightarrow A_2) = T$ ,

and thus  $v(\neg(A_1 \rightarrow A_2)) = F$ , and hence

$$v(A_0 \rightarrow (\neg(A_1 \rightarrow A_2))) = F.$$

The easy way here would be to use a truth table: (5)

	$A_0$	$A_1$	$A_2$	$A_1 \rightarrow A_2$	$\neg(A_1 \rightarrow A_2)$	$A_0 \rightarrow (\neg(A_1 \rightarrow A_2))$	
$\forall()$	T	F	T	T	F	F	✓

Next time: We'll look at how collections of formulas imply formulas in terms of truth.