

# Egyptian fractions, divisions, and some algebra

2022-01-17

①

... but first, a word about our sources.

These are mostly fragmentary pieces of papyrus, since inscriptions on monuments & tombs don't show calculations. The most complete & comprehensive is the so-called Rhind or Ahmose papyrus:

- written down by a scribe named Ahmose (or Ahmes) c. 1650 B.C. [during the Second Intermediate Period, under a Hyksos monarch]
- he says he copied a work that had been written a couple of centuries before that
- mostly a collection of problems and their solutions
  - mostly practical, do not describe general techniques except by example
  - some problems, eg involving summing a finite geometric series, that have no apparent practical application.
- It's mostly in the "hieratic" script rather than in hieroglyphics

Fractions: Egyptians mostly used unit fractions, i.e.  $\frac{1}{n}$  for some  $n$ , and used the symbol  $\overset{\circ}{\phantom{n}}$  to indicate a fraction  
es  $\frac{1}{12}$  would be written as  $\overset{\circ}{n} \parallel$  ②

A small number of exceptions:

$$\frac{1}{2} \quad \sqsubset$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \quad \times$$

$$\frac{2}{3} \quad \text{⦶}$$

Other than two thirds, Egyptians broke fractions down into sums of unit fractions:

$$\text{es } \frac{3}{5} \text{ would be written as } \overset{\circ}{n} \overset{\circ}{n}, \text{ i.e. } \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{10} \\ = \frac{5}{10} + \frac{1}{10} = \frac{6}{10} = \frac{3}{5}$$

Q.: How did they do the breakdown?

A: In general, this is not clear: (3)

Most often, they seem to have expanded a fraction by fitting the largest unit fraction into what was left:

$$\text{eg } \frac{3}{7} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{41}$$

$$\frac{3}{7} - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{12}{28} - \frac{7}{28} = \frac{5}{28}$$

$$\frac{5}{28} - \frac{1}{6} = \frac{30}{84} - \frac{28}{84} = \frac{2}{84} = \frac{1}{41}$$

To help with whatever process they used they used (at least in the Ahmose papyrus) a table of breakdowns of  $\frac{2}{n}$  fractions into unit fractions.

The Egyptians adapted their doubling & adding multiplication algorithm to dividing with the help of halving (or thirding or tenthing) as an additional operation. ④

Problem 25 from the Ahmose papyrus:

Find the quantity of 20 divided by 4.

"Calculate with 4 to get 21."

using modern numbers

	1	4	✓
double	2	8	
double	4	16	✓
halve the original	$\frac{1}{2}$	2	
halve again	$\frac{1}{4}$	1	✓

Totals: 21

[if we doubled again, we'd exceed 21]

Adding up the corresponding ones

from the first column gives

as  $1 + 4 + \frac{1}{4} = 5\frac{1}{4} = \frac{21}{4}$

Ahmose goes on to check the answer by multiplying his answer by 4 to ensure that one does get 21.

How did the Egyptians solve some linear equation?

(5)

Another problem from the Rhind / Ahmose papyrus:

Problem 2: "A quantity and its half added together  
(An "aha" problem.) make 16. What is the quantity?"

The Egyptian word for "quantity" or "heap" was "aha".

"Assume [the quantity is] 2"



In our terms this is solving  $x + \frac{x}{2} = 16$ .

1 2

$\frac{1}{2}$  1

Total 3

"As many times as 3 must be multiplied to make 16, so many times 2 will give the quantity."

[i.e. compute  $16 \div 3$ ]

	1	3	/
double	2	6	/
double	4	12	/
third <del>1</del>	$\frac{1}{3}$	1	/

[doubling again would get us over 16]

Total

16

So the ~~quantity~~ multiple needed is  $1 + 4 + \frac{1}{3} = 5\frac{1}{3} = \frac{16}{3}$ .

"  
3+12+1

(Again, Ahmose goes on to check his answer.)

Thus the correct answer is 2 times  $\frac{16}{3}$ ,  
which Ahmose computes as usual to get  $10\frac{2}{3}$ . (NA)  
(A then checks the answer.)

This use of 2 as an initial assumption:  
it's false, but it leads to a solution  
is why the method is referred to as  
the method of (single) false position.

Next: a brief look at geometry in  
Esypt.