

## Prime Numbers

And a bit of modular arithmetic, maybe defining the rationals

Recall

- $p$  is prime if  $p > 1$  & its only divisors are  $1$  &  $p$

Fact

h.f  $P$  is prime  $\wedge p|ab$ , then  $p|a$  or  $p|b$

2. There are infinitely many primes

Proof: Suppose, by way of contradiction, that there are only finitely many primes, say  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$ .

Let  $p = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n + 1$

claim:  $p$  is prime (this gives the contradiction since  $p > p_k$  for all  $k$ )

$p_k X_p$  for all  $k=1, \dots, n$  since otherwise:

$$p_k | (p - p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_n) \quad \text{ie } p_k | 1 \quad (\text{contradiction dlc } p \nmid 1)$$

- if  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  is a list of all the primes then  $p$  must be composite but then some prime must divide  $p$ ...

Proposition: Suppose  $n$  is a positive integer ( $\neq 1$ ), then  $n$  can be written as a product of prime numbers

Proof: By induction on  $n \geq 2$

Base step:  $\ln=2$ )

2 is prime    3     $2 \times 2$  is its own product

Induction hypothesis:  $n=k$

Every  $m$  with  $2 \leq m \leq k$  can be written as a product of primes

Inductive Step: ( $n=k \rightarrow n=k+1$ )

Suppose  $n = k+1$  then either

a)  $n = k+1$  is prime

→ in which case were done

or

b)  $n = k+1$  is not prime

$\Rightarrow$  i.e.  $n = k+1 = c \cdot d$  for some  $c, d$  such that  $1 < c \leq n$  &  $1 < d \leq n$

In this case,  $c$  &  $d$  are both products of primes by the induction hypothesis

→  $\therefore$  So  $n = k \cdot l = cd$  can also be written as a product of primes (multiply the products for  $c$  &  $d$  together)

∴ by induction... //

## Modular Arithmetic

Arithmetic "mod  $n$ " ( $n \geq 2$ )

- Define  $\equiv_n$  by  $a \equiv_n b$  if  $n \mid (b-a)$

$$\mathbb{Z}_n = \{[a]_n \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$
$$[a]_{\equiv n} + [b]_{\equiv n} = [a+b]_{\equiv n} \quad \text{ } \quad \text{ } \quad [a]_{\equiv n} \cdot [b]_{\equiv n} = [ab]_{\equiv n} \quad \text{etc.} \dots$$

- in practice, we work with  $0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  } roll over to 0 @  $n$

Fact

1. Suppose  $n = d_k d_{k-1} \dots d_1 d_0$  is the decimal version of  $n \geq 0$  (i.e.  $n = d_k 10^k + d_{k-1} 10^{k-1} + \dots + d_0 10^0$ )

→ then:  $3|n \Leftrightarrow 3|(d_k + d_{k-1} + \dots + d_1 + d_0)$

→ EX: what is  $3|1125$ ?

$$3 \mid (1+1+2+5)$$

Proof:  $3|n \Leftrightarrow n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  (i.e.  $[n]_3 = [0]_3$ )

$$\Leftrightarrow d_k 10^k + d_{k-1} 10^{k-1} + \dots + d_1 10^1 + d_0 10^0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow d_k 1^k + d_{k-1} 1^{k-1} + \dots + d_1 1^1 + d_0 1^0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \quad \text{since } 10 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow d_k + d_{k-1} + \dots + d_1 + d_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 3 | (d_k + d_{k-1} + \dots + d_1 + d_0)$$