

Axioms for Sets

Recap

Symbols:

	Formally	Unofficially
quantifiers	\forall ("for all")	\exists ("there is") \rightarrow actually an abbreviation for $\neg\forall\neg$
connectives	\neg, \rightarrow	$\&, \vee, \wedge, \dots$
variables		

Grouping symbols: $()$

Equality: $=$ (the necessary relation)

Binary relation: \in ("is an element of")

\rightarrow informally: \cup (union)

\cap (intersection)

\setminus (relative complement)

\subseteq (subset)

Formulas

- $x_i = x_m \quad ? \quad x_i \in x_j$ are the atomic formulas
- α is a formula $\Rightarrow (\neg\alpha)$ is also one
- α, β are formulas $\Rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ is also one
- α is a formula $\Rightarrow \forall x_i \alpha$ is also one
- nothing else is a formula

Today

Rule of Procedure: If you have $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ and α , you can infer β

Logical Axiom Schema

A1. $(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \alpha))$

A2. $((\alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \gamma) \rightarrow ((\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma)))$

A3. $((\neg\neg\beta) \rightarrow (\neg\alpha)) \rightarrow (((\neg\beta) \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta)$

A4. $(\forall x \alpha \rightarrow \alpha_x^y)$

\rightarrow where you can replace every free x in α by y , as long as the y doesn't get captured by a quantifier in α

A5. $(\forall x (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\forall x \alpha \rightarrow \forall x \beta))$

A6. $(\alpha \rightarrow \forall x \alpha)$

\rightarrow so long as x does not occur free in α

A7. $x = x$

\rightarrow for any variable x

A8. $x = y \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$

\rightarrow where α, β are atomic \uparrow you replace some - or all - instances of x in α by y to get β

We will show that for any formula α \uparrow any variable x , that $\{\alpha\} \vdash \exists x \alpha$

- $((\forall x (\neg\alpha) \rightarrow (\neg\alpha)) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \forall x (\neg\alpha)))$ by (in propositional logic, we can show that $\vdash ((\beta \rightarrow (\neg\beta)) \rightarrow (\gamma \rightarrow (\neg\beta)))$
 \leftarrow equivalent to $\exists x \alpha$
- $(\forall x (\neg\alpha) \rightarrow (\neg\alpha))$ by A4 since $(\neg\alpha)_x^x$ is $\neg\alpha$ (have antecedent so can apply MP)
- $(\alpha \rightarrow (\neg\forall x (\neg\alpha)))$ by 1,2 MP
- α by hypothesis/prems (can cite the hypothesis whenever needed)
- $(\neg\forall x (\neg\alpha))$ by 3,4 MP (have antecedent so can apply MP)
- $\exists x \alpha$ by the definition of \exists

Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory with the Axiom of Choice

→ also called ZFC

- Suppose there is a universal set

→ i.e. a set of which every set is an element

→ we will call this U

Let $R = \{x \in U \mid \neg(x \in x)\}$

*the Barber Shop paradox

→ Is $R \in R$? would need to satisfy $\neg(R \in R)$

→ Union Axiom: if x is a (non-empty) set of sets, then $Ux = U_a = \{y \mid y \in a \text{ for some } a \in x\}$ is a set

→ $\emptyset = U\emptyset$

→ Empty Set Axiom: the empty set \emptyset is a set

→ Comprehension Axiom: If x is a set & ϕ is a formula with one free variable y , then $\{y \in x \mid \phi(y)\}$ is also a set