

Propositional Logic

(And some first-order logic afterwards)

Propositional Logic So Far:

<p>Symbols</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> atomic formulas <ol style="list-style-type: none"> official: A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots unofficial: A, B, C, \dots connectives <ol style="list-style-type: none"> official: \neg, \rightarrow unofficial: (also includes) $\wedge, \vee, \leftrightarrow$ grouping symbols: $(,)$ 	<p>a string of symbols is a formula if:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> it is an atomic formula it is $(\neg \alpha)$, where α is a formula it is $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$, where α, β are formulas nothing else is a formula 	<p>logical axioms schema</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> $(\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \alpha))$ $((\alpha \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \gamma)) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \beta) \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \gamma))$ $((\neg \beta \rightarrow (\neg \alpha)) \rightarrow (((\neg \beta) \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \beta))$
	<p>our only rule of procedure is Modus Ponens (MP)</p> <p>given $\alpha \wedge (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$, we may infer β</p>	

Deductions

definition: (from a set of hypotheses Σ) is a sequence of formulas: $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \dots, \phi_n$ such that every formula ϕ_k in the deduction is either:

- a logical axiom
 - or
 - a hypothesis ($\phi_k \in \Sigma$)
 - or
 - follows from preceding formulas $\phi_i \wedge \phi_j$ ($i, j < k$) by MP
- ϕ_i is $(\phi_j \rightarrow \phi_k)$ or ϕ_j is $(\phi_i \rightarrow \phi_k)$

We'll try to prove $(\phi \rightarrow \phi)$ from $\Sigma = \emptyset$ ($\Sigma \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \phi)$)

→ options to try

- $(\phi \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \phi))$ Axiom Schema 1
 - $((\phi \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \phi)) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \phi)))$ Axiom Schema 2
 - $((\phi \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \phi))$ 1, 2 MP
- this is a deduction of $(\phi \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \phi)$ from no hypotheses
- try again:

- $((\phi \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \phi)) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \phi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \phi)))$ Axiom 2
- $(\phi \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \phi))$ Axiom 1
- $((\phi \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \phi)) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \phi))$ 2, 3 MP
- $(\phi \rightarrow \phi)$ 1, 4 MP

Deduction Theorem

If Σ is a set of formulas $\wedge \alpha, \beta$ are formulas, then:

$\Sigma \vdash (\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ (there is a deduction of $(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)$ from the set of hypotheses Σ)

if, and only if, $\Sigma \cup \{\alpha\} \vdash \beta$

- redo the proof above:

$\alpha \vdash (\phi \rightarrow \phi)$

$\Leftrightarrow \exists \phi \vdash \phi$

1. ϕ hypothesis

Soundness Theorem

("deductions preserve truth")

If $\Sigma \vdash \alpha$ then $\Sigma \models \alpha$

" Σ entails α " (every assignment of T/F to atomic formulas that make every formula in Σ true, also makes α true)

Proof

$\Sigma \vdash \alpha$ means that there is a deduction $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n$ such that each ϕ_i is:

1. in Σ
2. a logical axiom
3. follows from preceding formula's by MP

We'll prove $m \rightarrow$ by induction

aside: If you have a statement involving $n \in \mathbb{N}$ & it is true for $n=0$ (or $n=i$) & if it's true for $n \leq k$ implies it is true for $n=k+1$ then it's true for all $n \geq 0$ ($\geq i$)

Type I \leftarrow Type II \leftarrow