

Mathematics 2200H – Mathematical Reasoning

TRENT UNIVERSITY, Fall 2024

Solutions to Assignment #8

The Quotient Numbers

Recall our definition of the rational numbers:

- We defined an equivalence relation \sim on $\mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}) = \{(a, b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } b \neq 0\}$ by $(a, b) \sim (c, d) \iff ad = bc$. Informally, $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$ exactly when $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$.
- The equivalence class $[(a, b)]_\sim$ of a pair $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})$ consists of all the pairs equivalent to (a, b) , i.e. $[(a, b)]_\sim = \{(c, d) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}) \mid (a, b) \sim (c, d)\}$. Informally, $[(a, b)]_\sim$ groups all the pairs (c, d) such that $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$.
- The set of rational numbers is then officially $\mathbb{Q} = \{[(a, b)]_\sim \mid (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z} \times (\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\})\}$. You can think of $[(a, b)]_\sim$ as being the official “value” of the fraction $\frac{a}{b}$ (and every other fraction equal to it).

Having defined \mathbb{Q} , we also defined addition and, multiplication, and the linear order on the rationals as follows.

- Officially, $[(a, b)]_\sim +_{\mathbb{Q}} [(c, d)]_\sim = [(ad + bc, bd)]_\sim$. Informally, this is just the hopefully familiar fact that $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad + bc}{bd}$.
- Officially, $[(a, b)]_\sim \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} [(c, d)]_\sim = [(ac, bd)]_\sim$. Informally, this is just the hopefully familiar fact that $\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd}$.
- Officially, $[(a, b)]_\sim <_{\mathbb{Q}} [(c, d)]_\sim \iff ad < bc$, where we may assume that both b and d are positive. Informally, this is just the fact that $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d}$ exactly when cross-multiplying gives us $ad < bc$, which is easy to check as long we are cross-multiplying by positive denominators.

1. Verify that the right distributive law holds in the rationals; that is, if $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $r \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} (s +_{\mathbb{Q}} t) = (r \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} s) +_{\mathbb{Q}} (r \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} t)$. [3]

SOLUTION. Suppose that $r = [(a, b)]_\sim$, $s = [(c, d)]_\sim$, and $t = [(e, f)]_\sim$. Then, using the properties of multiplication and addition on the integers at the key steps, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 r \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} (s +_{\mathbb{Q}} t) &= [(a, b)]_\sim \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} ([[(c, d)]_\sim +_{\mathbb{Q}} [(e, f)]_\sim) = [(a, b)]_\sim \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} [(cf + de, df)]_\sim \\
 &= [(a(cf + de), bdf)]_\sim = [(a(cf + de), bdf)]_\sim \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}} \\
 &= [(a(cf + de), bdf)]_\sim \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} [(b, b)]_\sim = [(a(cf + de)b, bdfb)]_\sim \\
 &= [(abc f + abde, bdbf)]_\sim = [(acbf + bdae, bdbf)]_\sim = [(ac, bd)]_\sim +_{\mathbb{Q}} [(ae, bf)]_\sim \\
 &= ([[(a, b)]_\sim \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} [(c, d)]_\sim) +_{\mathbb{Q}} ([[(a, b)]_\sim \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} [(e, f)]_\sim) = (r \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} s) +_{\mathbb{Q}} (r \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} t) \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

2. Show that if $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $r <_{\mathbb{Q}} s$, then $r + t <_{\mathbb{Q}} s + t$. [4]

SOLUTION. Suppose that $r = [(a, b)]_\sim$, $s = [(c, d)]_\sim$, and $t = [(e, f)]_\sim$, and that $r <_{\mathbb{Q}} s$, i.e. $ad < bc$. Then, using the properties of multiplication, addition, and the linear order on the integers at the key steps, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 r +_{\mathbb{Q}} t &= [(a, b)]_\sim +_{\mathbb{Q}} [(e, f)]_\sim = [(af + be, bf)]_\sim \\
 &<_{\mathbb{Q}} [(cf + de, df)]_\sim = [(c, d)]_\sim +_{\mathbb{Q}} [(e, f)]_\sim = s +_{\mathbb{Q}} t
 \end{aligned}$$

For the key step, note that $ad < bc$ implies that $adf^2 < bcf^2$ (as $f \neq 0$ and so $f^2 > 0$), which in turn implies that $adf^2 + bdef < bcf^2 + bdef$, so $(af + be)df = adf^2 + bdef < bcf^2 + bdef = (cf + de)bf$, which means that $[(af + be, bf)]_\sim <_{\mathbb{Q}} [(cf + de, df)]_\sim$ be the definition of $<_{\mathbb{Q}}$. \square

3. Show that the linear order $<_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on the rational numbers has no endpoints; that is, there is no smallest and no largest rational number in this linear order. [3]

SOLUTION. Suppose that $r = [(a, b)]_{\sim} \in \mathbb{Q}$, where we may – and do – assume that $b > 0$. We will show that there are both larger and smaller elements of \mathbb{Q} in the linear order $<_{\mathbb{Q}}$. This means, in particular, that r cannot be an endpoint for the linear order. We will show that $r - 1_{\mathbb{Q}} <_{\mathbb{Q}} r <_{\mathbb{Q}} r + 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $1_{\mathbb{Q}} = [(1, 1)]_{\sim}$.

Recall that $r - 1_{\mathbb{Q}} = [(a, b)]_{\sim} + (-[(1, 1)]_{\sim}) = [(a, b)]_{\sim} + [(-1, 1)]_{\sim} = [(a1 + b(-1), b1)]_{\sim} = [(a - b, b)]_{\sim}$. Using the fact that $b > 0$, and the properties of multiplication, addition, and the linear order on the integers, it follows that $a - b < a \implies (a - b)b < ab \implies r - 1_{\mathbb{Q}} = [(a - b, b)]_{\sim} < [(a, b)]_{\sim} = r$.

Similarly, $r + 1_{\mathbb{Q}} = [(a, b)]_{\sim} + [(1, 1)]_{\sim} = [(a1 + b1, b1)]_{\sim} = [(a + b, b)]_{\sim}$. Using the fact that $b > 0$, and the properties of multiplication, addition, and the linear order on the integers, it follows that $a < a + b \implies ab < (a + b)b \implies r = [(a, b)]_{\sim} <_{\mathbb{Q}} [(a + b, b)]_{\sim} = r + 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$. ■