

# Basic Set Theory, formal and practical

(semi-)

Language(s) for set theory

formal and informal,  
(minimalist) (practical)Variables:  $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots$  $x, y, z, a, b, c, \dots$ 

Constants:

 $\emptyset, 0, 1, 2, \dots, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  etc  
(We can build most mathematical structures within set theory & so we use their common names.)

Functions:

 $f, g, h, \dots, \sin, \cos, e^x, \dots, +, -, /, \cdot$   
 $\cup, \cap, \partial, \setminus$ Relations:  $=, \in$  $<, \leq, \geq, \geq, |, \dots$   
 $\subseteq, \subset, \dots$ Connectives:  $\neg, \rightarrow$  $\wedge, \vee, \Leftrightarrow, \dots$ Quantifiers:  $\forall$  $\exists, \exists!$  (" $\exists! x \varphi$ " is "there is an unique  $x$  s.t.  $\varphi$ )Grouping symbols:  $(, )$  $[, ], \{, \}$ 

We also want axioms for set theory that tell us what we can do with sets. Expressible (sometimes horribly) in the formal language, but usually we go informal there too.

0° Our first axiom is a trivial one, but we have it  
Empty Set Axiom for convenience.

(2)

Informal version: There is an empty set. ( $\emptyset$  lives!)

Formal version:  $\exists y (\forall x (\neg x \in y))$  (semi-formal)  
 $(\neg (\forall y (\neg (\forall x (\neg x \in y))))))$

"set-builder notation"  
↓

1° Pairing Axiom

Informally: If  $a$  and  $b$  are sets, then so is  $\{a, b\}$ .

Semi-formal:  $\forall x \forall y \exists z (x \in z \wedge y \in z \wedge \forall w (w \in z \rightarrow (w = x \vee w = y)))$

Formal: Write it out yourself if you want to...

2° Union Axiom

Informally: If  $A$  is a set, then so is  $\bigcup A = \{x \mid x \in a \text{ for some } a \in A\}$

$= \bigcup_{a \in A} a$ .

Semi-formal:  $\forall x \exists y \forall z (z \in y \leftrightarrow \exists w (z \in w \wedge w \in x))$  ( $y = \bigcup x$ )

Applications: We can define the successor operation. ③

$$\begin{aligned} S(x) &= x \cup \{x\} = \cup \{x, \{x\}\} \quad (\text{using Union } \\ &\quad \text{& Pairing}) \\ &= \cup \{x, \{\underline{x}, x\}\} \quad (\{\underline{x}\} = \{\underline{x}, x\} \\ &\quad \text{by pairing}) \end{aligned}$$

Why is  $\{\underline{x}, x\} = \{x\}$ ?

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \{\underline{x}\} \\ x \in \{x\} \quad \text{and} \quad x \in \{\underline{x}, x\} \end{aligned}$$

Because two sets are equal if the same elements--

There is another axiom to capture this idea.

3° Extension Axiom ("Two sets are equal if--" Axiom)

Informally: If  $a$  &  $b$  are sets, then  $a = b$  exactly when they have the same elements.

Semi formally:  $\forall x \forall y ((\forall z (z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y)) \rightarrow x = y)$

④

## 4<sup>o</sup> Power Set Axiom

Informally: If  $x$  is a set, then  $\mathcal{P}(x) = \{a \mid a \subseteq x\}$   
 $= \{a \mid \forall y(y \in a \rightarrow y \in x)\}$   
 is a set.

Semi-formally:  $\forall x \exists y (\forall z (z \in y \rightarrow \forall w(w \in z \rightarrow w \in x))$   
 $\wedge \forall z ((\forall w(w \in z \rightarrow w \in x)) \rightarrow z \in y))$

Next time: Axiom of Regularity (a.k.a. Axiom of Foundations)