

Mathematics 1350H – Linear algebra I: Matrix algebra

TRENT UNIVERSITY, Summer 2014

Assignment #5

Due on Tuesday, 17 June, 2014.

Determinants by way of Gauss-Jordan reduction

Given a square matrix \mathbf{A} , we can compute a number called the *determinant* of \mathbf{A} , usually denoted by $|\mathbf{A}|$ or $\det(\mathbf{A})$, that gives a lot of information about \mathbf{A} . For example, $|\mathbf{A}| \neq 0$ exactly when \mathbf{A}^{-1} exists. One problem with the usual definition of determinants [see §4.2 in the text], which works by reducing the determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix to an alternating sum of determinants of n different $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ sub-matrices, is that computing them this way is a *lot* of work unless \mathbf{A} is a pretty small matrix or has a lot of 0s. (Heck, it's a pain even for 3×3 matrices with the usual definition, as we saw in computing cross-products of vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 .) In this assignment, we will be looking at a method to compute the determinant of a matrix using the Gauss-Jordan method.

The determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} satisfies the following rules:

- i.* The identity matrix has determinant equal to 1, *i.e.* $|\mathbf{I}_n| = 1$.
- ii.* If you exchange the i th and j th row of \mathbf{A} to get the matrix \mathbf{B} , then $|\mathbf{B}| = -|\mathbf{A}|$.
- iii.* If you multiply the i th row of \mathbf{A} by a constant c to get the matrix \mathbf{C} , then $|\mathbf{C}| = c|\mathbf{A}|$.
- iv.* If you add a multiple of any row of \mathbf{A} to a different row of \mathbf{A} to get the matrix \mathbf{D} , then $|\mathbf{D}| = |\mathbf{A}|$.
- v.* Taking the transpose of \mathbf{A} doesn't change the determinant. That is, $|\mathbf{A}^T| = |\mathbf{A}|$.

If you really wanted to, by the way, you could actually use this collection of rules as the definition of the determinant of a matrix. It's pretty cumbersome as a definition, but it does provide a much more efficient way to compute the determinant of even a modestly large matrix. It also makes it easier to see why \mathbf{A} is invertible if and only if $|\mathbf{A}| \neq 0$: both are equivalent to the matrix being reducible to \mathbf{I}_n using the Gauss-Jordan method.

1. In both **a** and **b** use the Gauss-Jordan method to put the matrix \mathbf{A} in reduced row-echelon form, and then apply rules *i* – *v* to work out $|\mathbf{A}|$.

a. $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$ [2]

b. $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 & 5 \\ 4 & 7 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ [3]

2. Use rules *i* – *v* to determine $|\mathbf{A}|$ if:

a. $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{O} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. [1]

b. \mathbf{A} has a row of zeros. [1]

c. \mathbf{A} has two equal rows. [1]

3. Rules *ii* – *iv* are true for the columns of \mathbf{A} as well as the rows. Explain why. [2]