Mathematics 1350H – Linear algebra I: Matrix algebra

TRENT UNIVERSITY, Summer 2013

Solutions to the Final Examination Friday, 19 June, 2013

Time: 3 hours

Brought to you by Стефан Біланюк.

Instructions: Do parts **Y** and **Z**. Show all your work. If in doubt about something, ask! Aids: Calculator; one $8.5'' \times 11''$ or A4 aid sheet; $\leq 10^{10^{10}}$ neurons.

Part Y. Do all of 1–5.

[Subtotal = 64/100]

1. Consider the matrix
$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
.

- **a.** Without any calculation, does the equation $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ have no solutions, just one solution, or many solutions? Explain why. [2]
- **b.** Use the Gauss-Jordan method to put \mathbf{A} in reduced row-echelon form. [10]
- c. What are the rank and nullity of A? [1]
- **d.** Without any further calculation, give a basis for $col(\mathbf{A})$. [3]
- **e.** Find a basis for $\text{null}(\mathbf{A})$. [4]

SOLUTIONS. **a.** Since the third and fifth rows are multiples of one another, the rank of the 5×5 matrix **A** can be no more than 5 - 1 = 4 < 5, so it cannot be invertible, and so $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$ cannot have an unique solution. It follows that since $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$ does have at least one solution, namely $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ (since $\mathbf{A0} = \mathbf{0}$), it must have infinitely many. \Box

b. Here goes:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \overset{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_4}{\Longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{array}{c} R_2 + R_1 \\ \Longrightarrow \\ R_4 - 2R_1 \\ \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & -2 & -2 & -6 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \overset{R_1 - R_2}{\Longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}R_2 \\ \frac{1}{2}R_2 \\ \Longrightarrow \\ \overset{R_1 + R_3}{\Longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{3}{2} & 2 & \frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \overset{R_1 + R_3}{\underset{R_4 - R_3}{\Longrightarrow} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 & -3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

	Γ1	0	0	1	ך 2	$R_1 - R_4$	Γ1	0	0	0	ך 1
	0	1	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	0	$R_2 - \frac{1}{2}R_4$	0	1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$
\implies	0	0	1	Ī	1	$R_3 - R_4$	0	0	1	0	0
$-\frac{1}{3}R_{4}$	0	0	0	1	1	\implies	0	0	0	1	1
0	Lo	0	0	0	0		LO	0	0	0	0

Whew! \Box

c. Since the reduced matrix has four non-zero rows, rank(\mathbf{A}) = 4; it follows by the Rank-Nullity Law that the nullity of \mathbf{A} is equal to the number of columns of \mathbf{A} minus its rank, *i.e.* nullity(\mathbf{A}) = 5 - 4 = 1. \Box

d. The column vectors in the original matrix \mathbf{A} corresponding to the columns in which the reduced matrix has leading 1s in non-zero rows form a basis for the column space $col(\mathbf{A})$:

([2]		$\lceil 2 \rceil$		$\lceil 2 \rceil$		$\lceil 2 \rceil$		
	-1		0		1		0		
ł	0	,	0	,	2	,	2	}	
	1		2		2	,	4		
l					1		1		

e. To find a basis for null(**A**), we need to write out the solutions to $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$ in vectorparametric form. (Recall from the solution to part **a** that this homogeneous equation must have infinitely many solutions.) Fortunately, most of the work has already been done in solving part **b** above. If we augment **A** with a column of 0s and row-reduce it just as we row-reduced **A** in solving part **b**, we'll end up with the reduced matrix from part **b**, augmented with a column of 0s:

Γ1	0	0	0	1	0	
0	1	0	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	
0	0	1	0	0	0	
0	0	0	1	1	0	
LO	0	0	0	0	0_	

In terms of the entries of \mathbf{x} , this comes down to the equations $x_1 + x_5 = 0$, $x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_5 = 0$, $x_3 = 0$, and $x_4 + x_5 = 0$. Setting $x_5 = t$ for a parameter t and solving the preceding equations in terms of t, we get that the solutions to $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{0}$ are of the form

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -t \\ \frac{1}{2}t \\ 0 \\ -t \\ t \end{bmatrix} = t \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that
$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} -1\\ \frac{1}{2}\\ 0\\ -1\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$
 is a basis for null(**A**).

- **2.** Consider the line in \mathbb{R}^3 passing through the points (2,2,0) and (2,0,2), and also the line passing through the points (0, 1, 1) and (1, 1, 1).
 - **a.** Sketch these points and lines. [2]
 - **b.** Find a parametric description of each of these lines. [4]
 - c. Find the point at which the two lines meet and the (smallest) angle between them at that point. [4]

SOLUTIONS. a. A sketch:



b. The vector from (2,2,0) to (2,0,2) is $\begin{bmatrix} 2-2\\0-2\\2-0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\-2\\2 \end{bmatrix}$, so $\begin{bmatrix} x\\y\\z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\2\\0 \end{bmatrix} + s \begin{bmatrix} 0\\-2\\2 \end{bmatrix}$ is a parametric description of the first line. Similarly, the vector from (0,1,1) to (1,1,1)

- is $\begin{bmatrix} 1-0\\ 1-1\\ 1-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, so $\begin{bmatrix} x\\ y\\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1\\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a parametrization of the second line. \Box
- **c.** The two lines meet at a common point exactly when

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + s \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

that is, when x = 0 + 1t = 2 + 0s, y = 1 + 0t = 2 - 2s, and z = 1 + 0t = 0 + 2s. Simplifying, this comes down to x = t = 2, y = 1 = 2 - 2s, and z = 1 = 2s, and it's pretty obvious that this requires t = 2 and $s = \frac{1}{2}$. Plugging each value into the parametric description of the appropriate line gives the point (2, 1, 1).

The angle θ between the lines is the angle between the direction vectors, so

$$\cos(\theta) = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 0\\-2\\2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}}{\left\| \begin{bmatrix} 0\\-2\\2 \end{bmatrix} \right\| \left\| \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} \right\|} = \frac{0}{2\sqrt{2} \cdot 1} = 0,$$

so the angle between the lines is $\frac{\pi}{2}$ radians or 90°, *i.e.* the lines are perpendicular.

3. Let
$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
. **a.** Find \mathbf{B}^{-1} , if it exists. [10]
b. Use your work in part **a** to compute $|\mathbf{B}|$. [5]

SOLUTIONS. a. We set up the superaugmented matrix and Gauss-Jordan away:

Since a row of 0s has turned up on the left-hand side of the reduced super-augmented matrix, the matrix **B** has no inverse. \Box

b. Since **B** is not invertible by the solution to part **a**, $|\mathbf{B}| = 0$.

4. Find an equation of the form
$$ax + by + cz = d$$
 for the plane containing both the line $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and the point $(1, 1, 1)$. [9]

SOLUTION. Since every point on the line should be in the plane, the point (0,0,2), in particular, must be in the plane. Note also that the direction vector of the line must be parallel to the plane. A second vector parallel to the plane would be the vector that takes

one from (0,0,2) to (1,1,1), namely $\begin{bmatrix} 1-0\\ 1-0\\ 1-2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 1\\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$. The cross-product of these two

vectors will be normal to the plane:

- -

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1\\1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ -1 & 1 & 1\\1 & 1 & -1 \end{vmatrix} = + \begin{vmatrix} 1&1\\1&-1 \end{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} - \begin{vmatrix} -1&1\\1&-1 \end{vmatrix} \mathbf{j} + \begin{vmatrix} -1&1\\1&1 \end{vmatrix} \mathbf{k}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \cdot (-1) - 1 \cdot 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{i} - \begin{bmatrix} (-1) \cdot (-1) - 1 \cdot 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{j} + \begin{bmatrix} (-1) \cdot 1 - 1 \cdot 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{k}$$
$$= -2\mathbf{i} - 0\mathbf{j} - 2\mathbf{k} = \begin{bmatrix} -2\\0\\2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus the plane in question has an equation of the form -2x - 2z = d. We solve for d by plugging in (x, y, z) = (0, 0, 2): $d = -2 \cdot 0 - 2 \cdot 2 = -4$. It follows that -2x - 2y = -4 is

an equation of the plane. (If you don't like -s or factors of 2, just multiply through by $-\frac{1}{2}$ to get $x + y = 2 \dots :$ -)

5. Let $\mathbf{D} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. **a.** Find all the eigenvalues of **D**. [5] **b.** Find all the eigenvectors of **D**. [5]

SOLUTIONS. a. First,

$$|\mathbf{D} - \lambda \mathbf{I}_2| = \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} - \lambda \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 3 - \lambda & -1 \\ 1 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = (3 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda) - 1(-1) = \lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 4.$$

Second, $\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 4 = (\lambda - 2)^2$, which = 0 exactly when $\lambda = 2$, so **D** has 2 as its only eigenvalue. \Box

b. To find all the eigenvectors of **D** we need to find all solutions **x** to $(\mathbf{D} - 2\mathbf{I}_2)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. We plug $\mathbf{D} - 2\mathbf{I}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3-2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1-2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ into the usual augmented matrix and use the Gauss-Jordan method: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \implies \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. It follows that $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$ is an eigenvector of **D** exactly when x - y = 0, *i.e.* when x = y. That is, the eigenvectors of **D** are the scalar multiples of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Part Z. Do any three of 6–11.

[Subtotal = 36/100]

6. Use the properties of the vector operations and the dot product to verify that if **u** and **v** are vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , then $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \right)$. [12]

SOLUTION. Recall that $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$ for any vector \mathbf{x} . With the help of the distributive and commutative properties of the dot product, it follows that:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\|\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left((\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) \cdot (\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(2\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \quad \blacksquare$$

7. Determine whether $W = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \middle| |x| = |y| \right\}$ a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 or not. If it is a subspace, determine its dimension. [12]

SOLUTION. W is not a subspace of \mathbb{R}^2 . To see this, observe that $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{bmatrix} \in W$ (since |1| = 1 = |1| and |1| = 1 = |-1|, repectively), but $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\0 \end{bmatrix} \notin W$ (since $|2| = 2 \neq 0 = |0|$). As W is not closed under vector addition, it is not a subspace.

- 8. Consider the planes in \mathbb{R}^3 given by the equations 2x + 2y + z = 6 and x y = 0, respectively.
 - **a.** Give a parametric description of the line of intersection of these two planes. [8]
 - **b.** Find the points, if any, in which the line given by x = t, y = 3 t, and z = 1 intersects each of the two planes. [4]

SOLUTIONS. **a.** This boils down to finding the solutions of the system of equations 2x + 2y + z = 6 and x - y = 0. As usual, we set up the augmented matrix and throw the Gauss-Jordan algorithm at it:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 & | & 6 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2}{\Longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & | & 6 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\Longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 1 & | & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\Longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & | & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{R_1 + R_2}{\Longrightarrow} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} & | & \frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{4} & | & \frac{3}{2} \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{i.e.}{y + \frac{1}{4}z = \frac{3}{2}}$$

Let t be a parameter and set z = t; then $x = y = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{4}z$. Thus, the line of intersection of the two planes is given by:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + t \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \Box$$

b. We plug the parametric expressions for the given line into each of the equations for the planes and try to solve for the parameter.

First, $2x + 2y + z = 2t + 2(3 - t) + 1 = 2t + 6 - 2t + 1 = 7 \neq 6$ no matter what value t has, so the line does not intersect the plane 2x + 2y + z = 6.

Second, x - y = t - (3 - t) = 2t - 3 = 0 exactly when $t = \frac{3}{2}$. When $t = \frac{3}{2}$, $x = t = \frac{3}{2}$, $y = 3 - t = 3 - \frac{3}{2} = \frac{3}{2}$, and z = 1, so the line intersects the plane x - y = 0 in the point $(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, 1)$.

9. Find a 2×2 matrix **X** such that $\mathbf{X}^2 - 2\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{I}_2 = \mathbf{O}_2$, where \mathbf{O}_2 is the 2×2 zero matrix. Is there another such **X**? Explain why or why not. [12]

SOLUTION. It is tempting to treat this like a normal quadratic equation. Giving in (partway) to this temptation, note that $\mathbf{X}^2 - 2\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{I}_2 = (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I}_2)^2$ which obviously $= \mathbf{O}_2$ when $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{I}_2$.

It is also tempting to suppose that this is the only solution, in the same way that $x^2 - 2x + 1 = (x - 1)^2 = 0$ only for x = 1. This temptation should be resisted: the reason $(x - 1)^2 = (x - 1)(x - 1) = 0$ can only happen if x - 1 = 0, *i.e.* x = 1, is because in the real numbers the only way a^2 can be 0 is if a = 0. Unfortunately, there are plenty of matrices $\mathbf{A} \neq \mathbf{O}_2$ such that $\mathbf{A}^2 = \mathbf{O}_2$. $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is a simple example of such a matrix. The question is whether there is a matrix $\mathbf{X} \neq \mathbf{I}_2$ (so $\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I}_2 \neq \mathbf{O}_2$) such that $(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I}_2)^2 = \mathbf{O}_2$. The answer is yes: take any matrix $\mathbf{A} \neq \mathbf{O}_2$ such that $\mathbf{A}^2 = \mathbf{O}_2$ and let $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{I}_2$. Since $\mathbf{A} \neq \mathbf{O}_2$, $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{I}_2 \neq \mathbf{I}_2$, and yet $(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I}_2)^2 = \mathbf{O}_2$. For example, using $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{I}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is another solution to $\mathbf{X}^2 - 2\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{I}_2 = (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{I}_2)^2 = \mathbf{O}_2$.

10. Suppose $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is a linear transformation such that

$$T\left(\begin{bmatrix}1\\2\\3\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}1\\0\\0\end{bmatrix}, \ T\left(\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\\2\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}0\\1\\0\end{bmatrix}, \ \text{and} \ T\left(\begin{bmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{bmatrix}.$$

a. Find $\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\\z\end{bmatrix}$ such that $T\left(\begin{bmatrix}x\\y\\z\end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix}2\\1\\0\end{bmatrix}.$ [4] **b.** Compute $T\left(\begin{bmatrix}3\\4\\5\end{bmatrix}\right).$ [8]

SOLUTION. a. We reverse-engineer the desired vector with the help of the linearity of T:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} = 2\begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} = 2T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix}\right) + T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = T\left(2\begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2\\5\\8 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

Thus $\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$ does the job. \Box

 It

b. We will use the linearity of T again, but to do so we need to find scalars a, b, and c such that $a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + c \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$. Boringly, but as usual, we set up the augmented matrix and use the Gauss-Jordan algorithm:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & | & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & | & 5 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\Longrightarrow}{=} R_3 - 3R_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & | & -4 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{\Longrightarrow}{=} R_3 - 2R_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

follows that
$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}) = 3T \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \right) - 2T \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
$$= 3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - 2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} . \quad \blacksquare$$

11. Find an orthogonal basis for $U = \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$. [12]

SOLUTION. First, we need to find a basis for U. We assemble the vectors in the spanning set into the columns of a matrix and reduce it:

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\Longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The columns of the original matrix corresponding to the columns in the reduced matrix in which a leading 1 of a row occurs give a basis for the column space. It follows that

 $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for U.

We now orthogonalize this basis using the Gram-Schmidt process:

We take the first basis vector unchanged: $\mathbf{b}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then

$$\mathbf{b_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} - \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\\\frac{1}{2}\\1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} + \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\\\frac{1}{2}\\1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

It follows that
$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}\\\frac{1}{2}\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3}\\-\frac{1}{3}\\\frac{1}{3}\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$
 is an orthogonal basis for U .

[Total = 100]

Part \heartsuit . Bonus!

•••. A dangerously sharp tool is used to cut a cube with a side length of $3 \, cm$ into 27 smaller cubes with a side length of $1 \, cm$. This can be done easily with six cuts. Can it be done with fewer? (You may rearrange the pieces between cuts.) If so, explain how; if not, explain why not. [1]

SOLUTION. It cannot be done with less than six cuts. They key is to consider the small cube that is completely inside (that is, no face of it is part of a face of) the original cube. Each of the six faces of this smaller cube must have come from a different cut. \blacksquare

 $^{\circ\circ}$. Write an original little poem about linear algebra or mathematics in general. [2]

SOLUTION. You're on your own on this one \dots

ENJOY THE REST OF THE SUMMER!