

# Mathematics 1101Y – Calculus I: Functions and calculus of one variable

TRENT UNIVERSITY, 2012–2013

## Assignment #4

### Breaking limits

Due on Friday, 8 February, 2013.

One of the things we've skipped over was the formal definition of limit, that is, how to pin down just what  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$  really means. The usual definition of limits is something like:

$\varepsilon - \delta$  DEFINITION OF LIMITS.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$  exactly when for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $x$  with  $|x - a| < \delta$  we are guaranteed to have  $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$  as well.

Informally, this means that no matter how close – that's the  $\varepsilon$  – you want  $f(x)$  to get to  $L$ , you can make it happen by ensuring that  $x$  is close enough – that's the  $\delta$  – to  $a$ . If this can always be done,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$ ; if not, then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \neq L$ .

This definition works, but most people find it a little hard to understand and use at first. Here is less common definition equivalent to the one above that is cast in terms of a game:

LIMIT GAME DEFINITION OF LIMITS. The *limit game* for  $f(x)$  at  $x = a$  with target  $L$  is a three-move game played between two players  $A$  and  $B$  as follows:

1.  $A$  moves first, picking a small number  $\varepsilon > 0$ .
2.  $B$  moves second, picking another small number  $\delta > 0$ .
3.  $A$  moves third, picking an  $x$  that is within  $\delta$  of  $a$ , *i.e.*  $a - \delta < x < a + \delta$ .

To determine the winner, we evaluate  $f(x)$ . If it is within  $\varepsilon$  of the target  $L$ , *i.e.*  $L - \varepsilon < f(x) < L + \varepsilon$ , then player  $B$  wins; if not, then player  $A$  wins.

With this idea in hand  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$  means that player  $B$  has a winning strategy in the limit game for  $f(x)$  at  $x = a$  with target  $L$ ; that is, if  $B$  plays it right,  $B$  will win no matter what  $A$  tries to do. (At least within the rules ... :-) Conversely,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) \neq L$  means that player  $A$  is the one with a winning strategy in the limit game for  $f(x)$  at  $x = a$  with target  $L$ .

Your task in this assignment, should you choose to accept it, is to find such winning strategies:

1. Describe a winning strategy for  $B$  in the limit game for  $f(x) = 3x - 2$  at  $x = 2$  with target 4. Note that no matter what number  $\varepsilon$   $A$  plays first,  $B$  must have a way to find a  $\delta$  to play that will make it impossible for  $A$  to play an  $x$  that wins for  $A$  on the third move. [3]
2. Describe a winning strategy for  $A$  in the limit game for  $f(x) = 3x - 2$  at  $x = 2$  with target 5. Note that  $A$  must pick an  $\varepsilon$  on the first move so that no matter what  $\delta$   $B$  tries to play on the second move,  $A$  can still find an  $x$  to play on move three that wins for  $A$ . [3]
3. Use either definition of limits above to verify that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} (x^2 + x + 1) = 3$ . [4]

*Hint:* The choice of  $\delta$  will probably require some slightly indirect reasoning. Pick some arbitrary positive number, say 1 or 0.5, for  $\delta$  as a first cut. If it doesn't do the job, but  $x$  is at least that close, you'll have some more information to pin down the  $\delta$  you really need.

NOTE: The problems above are probably easiest done by hand, Maple has tools for solving inequalities which could be useful if you should need them.