

Math 320 — Number Theory – Final Exam
 Tuesday, April 22, 2008.

- ($\frac{10}{100}$) 1. Compute the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{43}{73}\right)$.

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{43}{73}\right) &= \left(\frac{73}{43}\right) \text{ by Quadratic Reciprocity, because } 73 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ &\stackrel{(\diamond)}{=} \left(\frac{30}{43}\right) = \left(\frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{43}\right) \\ &\stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} \left(\frac{2}{43}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{3}{43}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{5}{43}\right) \stackrel{(*)}{=} (-1) \cdot (-1) \cdot (-1) = \boxed{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, (\diamond) is because $73 \equiv 30 \pmod{43}$, and (\dagger) is by Theorem 7.5. Finally, $(*)$ is because

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{3}{43}\right) &\stackrel{(1)}{=} -\left(\frac{43}{3}\right) = -\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = -1, \\ \text{while } \left(\frac{5}{43}\right) &\stackrel{(2)}{=} \left(\frac{43}{5}\right) = \left(\frac{3}{5}\right) \stackrel{(2)}{=} \left(\frac{5}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \stackrel{(3)}{=} -1, \\ \text{and } \left(\frac{2}{43}\right) &\stackrel{(4)}{=} -1. \end{aligned}$$

Here (1) is by Quadratic Reciprocity because $43 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, while (2) is by Quadratic Reciprocity because $5 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Finally, (3) is by Corollary 7.10, because $3 \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$. Finally (4) is by Corollary 7.10, because $43 \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$. \square

- ($\frac{15}{100}$) 2. Let $p > 3$ be prime. Note that $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$ (these are the only values coprime to 6).

(a) Show that

$$\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}; \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{3}{p}\right) \stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} \begin{cases} 1 \cdot 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{12}, \text{ and hence, } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ -1 \cdot 1 & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{12}, \text{ and hence, } p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}; \\ 1 \cdot (-1) & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{12}, \text{ and hence, } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ -1 \cdot (-1) & \text{if } p \equiv -5 \pmod{12}, \text{ and hence, } p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \text{ or } -5 \pmod{12}; \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \text{ or } 5 \pmod{12}. \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \text{ or } 7 \pmod{12}; \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \text{ or } -7 \pmod{12}. \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}; \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{6}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

as desired. Here, (*) is by Theorem 7.5, while (†) is because Corollary 7.7 (p.126) says that

$$\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

while Example 7.10 (p.131) says that $\left(\frac{3}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{12}; \\ -1 & \text{if } p \equiv \pm 5 \pmod{12}. \end{cases}$ \square

(b) Let $\mathbb{P}_1 := \{p \in \mathbb{P} ; p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}\}$. Use (a) to show that \mathbb{P}_1 is infinite.

Solution: Suppose $\mathbb{P}_1 = \{p_1, \dots, p_R\}$ is finite, let $N := (2p_1p_2 \cdots p_R)^2 + 3$, and let $M = 2p_1p_2 \cdots p_R$. Then $M^2 = N - 3 \equiv -3 \pmod{N}$. Thus, if p is any prime divisor of N , then $M^2 \equiv -3 \pmod{p}$; hence -3 is a quadratic residue, mod p , so that $\left(\frac{-3}{p}\right) = 1$. Now, N is odd, so p must be odd, which means we can apply part (a) to conclude that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$. In other words, $p \in \mathbb{P}_1$. But for any $r \in [1 \dots R]$, we must have $p \neq p_r$ because $p_r \nmid N$ because $N \equiv 3 \pmod{p_r}$. Thus, p is an element of \mathbb{P}_1 which is *not* in the list $\{p_1, \dots, p_R\}$, contradicting the hypothesis that $\mathbb{P}_1 = \{p_1, \dots, p_R\}$. By contradiction, \mathbb{P}_1 must be infinite. \square

3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose there is some $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{order}_n(a) = n - 1$. Show that n must be prime.

Solution: For any $a \in \mathbb{N}$, we know that $\text{order}_n(a) \leq \phi(n)$ because $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ by Euler's theorem. Thus, if $\text{order}_n(a) = n - 1$, then $n - 1 \leq \phi(n)$. On the other hand, we know that $\phi(n) := \#\{u \in [1 \dots n] ; u \perp n\} \leq \#[1 \dots n] = n - 1$. Thus, we must have $\phi(n) = n - 1$. But this means that every number in $[1 \dots n]$ is coprime to n , which means that n is prime. \square

4. Let $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$. Show that $\phi(M)\phi(N) = \phi[\text{gcd}(M, N)] \cdot \phi[\text{lcm}(M, N)]$.

Solution: Let $D := \text{gcd}(M, N)$ and $L := \text{lcm}(M, N)$. Let $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_R\}$ be the set of all prime factors of either M and N . By arranging these in a suitable order, we can write $M = p_1^{m_1} p_2^{m_2} \cdots p_Q^{m_Q}$ and $N = p_S^{n_S} p_2^{n_2} \cdots p_R^{n_R}$, where $m_1, \dots, m_Q > 0$ and $n_S, \dots, n_R > 0$, and where $1 \leq S \leq Q \leq R$. Then we have the following:

$$M = \prod_{r=1}^Q p_r^{m_r}, \quad \text{so } \phi(M) = \prod_{r=1}^Q p_r^{m_r-1} (p_r - 1); \quad (1)$$

$$N = \prod_{r=S}^R p_r^{n_r}, \quad \text{so } \phi(N) = \prod_{r=S}^R p_r^{n_r-1} (p_r - 1). \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Thus, } \phi(M)\phi(N) \stackrel{(1,2)}{=} \prod_{r=1}^{S-1} p_r^{m_r-1} (p_r - 1) \cdot \prod_{r=S}^Q p_r^{m_r+n_r-2} (p_r - 1)^2 \cdot \prod_{r=Q+1}^R p_r^{n_r-1} (p_r - 1). \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Meanwhile, } D = \prod_{r=S}^Q p_r^{d_r}, \quad \text{where } d_r := \min\{m_r, n_r\}, \text{ for all } r \in [S \dots Q],$$

$$\text{so that } \phi(D) = \prod_{r=S}^Q p_r^{d_r-1} (p_r - 1), \quad (4)$$

$$\text{and } L = \prod_{r=1}^{S-1} p_r^{m_r} \cdot \prod_{r=S}^Q p_r^{\ell_r} \cdot \prod_{r=Q+1}^R p_r^{n_r}, \quad \text{where } \ell_r := \max\{m_r, n_r\}, \text{ for all } r \in [S \dots Q],$$

$$\text{so that } \phi(L) = \prod_{r=1}^{S-1} p_r^{m_r-1}(p_r-1) \cdot \prod_{r=S}^Q p_r^{\ell_r-1}(p_r-1) \cdot \prod_{r=Q+1}^R p_r^{n_r-1}(p_r-1). \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } \phi(D)\phi(L) &\stackrel{(4,5)}{=} \prod_{r=1}^{S-1} p_r^{m_r-1}(p_r-1) \cdot \prod_{r=S}^Q p_r^{d_r-1}(p_r-1) p_r^{\ell_r-1}(p_r-1) \cdot \prod_{r=Q+1}^R p_r^{n_r-1}(p_r-1) \\ &= \prod_{r=1}^{S-1} p_r^{m_r-1}(p_r-1) \cdot \prod_{r=S}^Q p_r^{d_r+\ell_r-2}(p_r-1)^2 \cdot \prod_{r=Q+1}^R p_r^{n_r-1}(p_r-1) \\ &= \prod_{r=1}^{S-1} p_r^{m_r-1}(p_r-1) \cdot \prod_{r=S}^Q p_r^{m_r+n_r-2}(p_r-1)^2 \cdot \prod_{r=Q+1}^R p_r^{n_r-1}(p_r-1) \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \phi(M)\phi(N), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

($\frac{15}{100}$) 5. Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are coprime. Show that $m^{\phi(n)} + n^{\phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{mn}$.

Solution: We have

$$\begin{aligned} m^{\phi(n)} + n^{\phi(m)} &\equiv_n m^{\phi(n)} + 0^{\phi(m)} \equiv_n m^{\phi(n)} \stackrel{(*)}{\equiv_n} 1. \\ m^{\phi(n)} + n^{\phi(m)} &\equiv_m 0^{\phi(n)} + n^{\phi(m)} \equiv_m n^{\phi(m)} \stackrel{(*)}{\equiv_m} 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $m^{\phi(n)} + n^{\phi(m)} \equiv_{mn} 1$, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

Here, both $(*)$ congruences are by Euler's theorem. \square

($\frac{15}{100}$) 6. For any $s \in (1, \infty)$, let $\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$ (the Riemann zeta function). For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\tau(n) := \#\{d \in [1 \dots n] ; d \text{ divides } n\}$. Show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s)^2.$$

Solution: Let $u(n) := 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$; then $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u(n)}{n^s}$. Thus,

$$\zeta(s)^2 = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u(n)}{n^s} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u(n)}{n^s} \right) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{u * u(n)}{n^s} \stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(n)}{n^s}$$

Here, $(*)$ is by Theorem 9.6 (p.180), and (\dagger) is because

$$u * u(n) := \sum_{d|n} u(d) \cdot u(n/d) = \sum_{d|n} 1 = \#\{d \in [1 \dots n] ; d|n\} = \tau(n).$$

\square