

Mathematics 1350H – Linear algebra I: matrix algebra  
TRENT UNIVERSITY, Fall 2008

Solutions to Assignment #5

Determinants the Gauss-Jordan way

Given a square matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , we can compute a number called the *determinant* of  $\mathbf{A}$ , usually denoted by  $|\mathbf{A}|$  or  $\det(\mathbf{A})$ , that gives a lot of information about  $\mathbf{A}$ . For example,  $|\mathbf{A}| \neq 0$  exactly when  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  exists. A common problem with how determinants are usually defined is that computing them is a lot of work unless  $\mathbf{A}$  is a pretty small matrix. (Heck, it's a pain even for  $3 \times 3$  matrices with the usual definition . . . ) Here are some facts about determinants which let you compute the determinant of a matrix using the Gauss-Jordan method:

The determinant of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies the following rules:

- i.* The identity matrix has determinant equal to 1, *i.e.*  $|\mathbf{I}_n| = 1$ .
- ii.* If you exchange the  $i$ th and  $j$ th row of  $\mathbf{A}$  to get the matrix  $\mathbf{B}$ , then  $|\mathbf{B}| = -|\mathbf{A}|$ .
- iii.* If you multiply the  $i$ th row of  $\mathbf{A}$  by a constant  $c$  to get the matrix  $\mathbf{C}$ , then  $|\mathbf{C}| = c|\mathbf{A}|$ .
- iv.* If you add a row vector  $\mathbf{d}$  to the  $i$ th row of  $\mathbf{A}$  to get the matrix  $\mathbf{D}$ , then  $|\mathbf{D}| = |\mathbf{A}| + |\mathbf{A}_{i,\mathbf{d}}|$ , where  $\mathbf{A}_{i,\mathbf{d}}$  is the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  with its  $i$ th row replaced by  $\mathbf{d}$ .
- v.* Taking the transpose of  $\mathbf{A}$  doesn't change the determinant. That is,  $|\mathbf{A}^T| = |\mathbf{A}|$ .

If you really wanted to, by the way, you could actually use this collection of rules as the definition of the determinant of a matrix.

1. Rules *ii* – *iv* are true for the columns of  $\mathbf{A}$  as well as the rows. Why? [2]

**Solution.** Rule *v* is the reason<sup>1</sup>. Applying the operations mentioned in rules *ii* – *iv* to the columns of  $\mathbf{A}$  corresponds to applying them to the rows of  $\mathbf{A}^T$ . Rule *v* tells us that  $|\mathbf{B}| = |\mathbf{B}^T|$  for any matrix  $\mathbf{B}$ , so the effect on  $|\mathbf{A}|$  of column operations on  $\mathbf{A}$  is exactly the same as the effect on  $|\mathbf{A}^T|$  of the corresponding row operations on  $\mathbf{A}^T$ . Hence rules *ii* – *iv* work for columns as well as rows. ■

2. Suppose we get the matrix  $\mathbf{E}$  by adding a multiple of row  $i$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  to row  $j$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ , leaving the other rows alone. Explain why  $|\mathbf{E}| = |\mathbf{A}|$ . [2]

**Solution.** Suppose we obtain  $\mathbf{E}$  by adding  $c$  times row  $i$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  to row  $j$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ . (That is,  $\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{R_j+cR_i} \mathbf{E}$ .) Suppose  $\mathbf{C}$  is the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  with row  $j$  replaced by  $c$  times row  $i$ , and  $\mathbf{B}$  is the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  with row  $j$  replaced by row  $i$ . Then  $|\mathbf{E}| = |\mathbf{A}| + |\mathbf{C}|$  (by rule *iv*) =  $|\mathbf{A}| + c|\mathbf{B}|$  (by rule *iii*). Since  $|\mathbf{B}| = 0$  by **3b**, it follows that  $|\mathbf{E}| = |\mathbf{A}|$ . (Note that the solution to **3b** does not rely on **2**, so we are not indulging in circular reasoning.) ■

---

<sup>1</sup> . . . when rows are not in season!

3. Use rules  $i - v$ , as well as **1** and **2**, to compute  $|\mathbf{A}|$  if:

a.  $\mathbf{A}$  has a column or a row of zeros. [1]

**Solution.** Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix whose  $i$ th row, call it  $\mathbf{r}_i$ , is all zeros. Note that in this case  $\mathbf{r}_i = 0\mathbf{r}_i$ , so, by rule *iii*,  $|\mathbf{A}| = 0|\mathbf{A}| = 0$ .

If  $\mathbf{A}$  has a column of zeros instead, then  $\mathbf{A}^T$  must have a row of zeros, so  $|\mathbf{A}| = |\mathbf{A}^T| = 0$ , by the above and rule  $v$  (or by question **1**). ■

b.  $\mathbf{A}$  has two equal columns or two equal rows. [1]

**Solution.** Suppose  $\mathbf{A}$  is a matrix whose  $i$ th and  $j$ th rows are the same (with  $i \neq j$ , of course). Then  $\mathbf{A} \xrightarrow{R_i \leftrightarrow R_j} \mathbf{A}$ , so, by rule *ii*,  $|\mathbf{A}| = -|\mathbf{A}|$ . The only number which is equal to its own negative is 0, so it must be the case that  $|\mathbf{A}| = 0$ .

By problem **1**, it also follows that  $|\mathbf{A}| = 0$  if  $\mathbf{A}$  has two equal columns. ■

c.  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ . [1]

**Solution.** Gauss-Jordan reduction, *hai!*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{3}R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{4}{3} \\ 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - 5R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{4}{3} \\ 0 & -\frac{2}{3} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{-\frac{3}{2}R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{4}{3} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 - \frac{4}{3}R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we track how the determinant changed in the course of these operations until we reached the identity matrix:

$$|\mathbf{A}| \xrightarrow[\text{(iii)}]{\frac{1}{3}R_1} \frac{1}{3}|\mathbf{A}| \xrightarrow[\text{(2)}]{R_2 - 5R_1} \frac{1}{3}|\mathbf{A}| \xrightarrow[\text{(iii)}]{-\frac{3}{2}R_2} -\frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{3}|\mathbf{A}| \right) = -\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{A}| \xrightarrow[\text{(2)}]{R_1 - \frac{4}{3}R_2} -\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{A}| = |\mathbf{I}_2| \stackrel{(i)}{=} 1$$

It follows that  $|\mathbf{A}| = 1 \div (-\frac{1}{2}) = -2$ . ■

d.  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix}$ . [1]

**Solution.** Following the same steps used in **3c** gives us:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{p}R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{q}{p} \\ r & s \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - rR_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{q}{p} \\ 0 & \frac{ps - qr}{p} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\frac{p}{ps - qr}R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{q}{p} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 - \frac{q}{p}R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(We are implicitly assuming here that none of  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $ps - qr$  is 0.) Now we track how the determinant changed until we reached the identity matrix:

$$|\mathbf{A}| \xrightarrow[\text{(iii)}]{\frac{1}{p}R_1} \frac{1}{p}|\mathbf{A}| \xrightarrow[\text{(2)}]{R_2 - rR_1} \frac{1}{p}|\mathbf{A}| \xrightarrow[\text{(iii)}]{\frac{p}{ps - qr}R_2} \frac{p}{ps - qr} \left( \frac{1}{p}|\mathbf{A}| \right) = \frac{1}{ps - qr}|\mathbf{A}| \xrightarrow[\text{(2)}]{R_1 - \frac{q}{p}R_2} \frac{1}{ps - qr}|\mathbf{A}| = |\mathbf{I}_2| \stackrel{(i)}{=} 1$$

It follows that  $|\mathbf{A}| = ps - qr$ , so long as none of  $p$ ,  $q$ , and  $ps - qr$  is 0. If  $p \neq 0$  but  $ps - qr = 0$ , we reach a row of all zeros after two steps, and it is easy to see that in this case  $|\mathbf{A}| = 0 = ps - qr$ . If  $p \neq 0$  and  $ps - qr \neq 0$  but  $q = 0$ , we reach the identity matrix at the next-to-last step, and it is easy to see that in this case we still get  $|\mathbf{A}| = ps - qr$ . The cases where  $p = 0$  are left to the reader. (If  $r = 0$  too, it's easy; otherwise, swap rows first and apply the analysis above ... ) ■

4. Use the Gauss-Jordan method to put the matrix  $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  in reduced row-echelon form. Apply what you have learned above to use this computation to determine  $|\mathbf{A}|$ . [2]

**Solution.** First, we use the Gauss-Jordan method to put the matrix in reduced echelon form, one step at a time:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 & 0 \end{bmatrix} &\xrightarrow{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 6 & 7 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{3}R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{4}{3} & \frac{5}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 6 & 7 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_3 - 6R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{4}{3} & \frac{5}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & -10 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\xrightarrow{R_3 + R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{4}{3} & \frac{5}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_1 - \frac{4}{3}R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -8 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{-\frac{1}{8}R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\xrightarrow{R_1 + R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{R_2 - 2R_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Second, we track how the determinant changed in the course of these operations until we reached the identity matrix:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{A}| &\xrightarrow[\text{(ii)}]{R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2} -|\mathbf{A}| \xrightarrow[\text{(iii)}]{\frac{1}{3}R_1} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right) (-|\mathbf{A}|) \xrightarrow[\text{(2)}]{R_3 - 6R_1} -\frac{1}{3}|\mathbf{A}| \xrightarrow[\text{(2)}]{R_3 + R_2} -\frac{1}{3}|\mathbf{A}| \xrightarrow[\text{(2)}]{R_1 - \frac{4}{3}R_2} -\frac{1}{3}|\mathbf{A}| \\ &\xrightarrow[\text{(iii)}]{-\frac{1}{8}R_3} \left(-\frac{1}{8}\right) \left(-\frac{1}{3}|\mathbf{A}|\right) \xrightarrow[\text{(2)}]{R_1 + R_3} \frac{1}{24}|\mathbf{A}| \xrightarrow[\text{(2)}]{R_2 - 2R_3} \frac{1}{24}|\mathbf{A}| = |\mathbf{I}_3| \stackrel{\text{(i)}}{=} 1 \end{aligned}$$

Solving for  $|\mathbf{A}|$  at the very end gives  $|\mathbf{A}| = 24$ . ■